California Alliance
Empowering lives. Enriching futures.

CASELOAD TRENDS
in
CHILD WELFARE Supervised GROUP HOME Placements in All 58 California Counties

January 1998 – April 2016

- **Children in Child Welfare Supervised Foster Care Placements by Type of Placement and by County on April 1, 2016**
- **Children in Child Welfare Supervised Group Home Placements since 1998, by County**
- **Number and Prevalence of Child Welfare and Probation Children in Group Home Placements on April 1, 2016, by County**
- **Change in the Number of Child Welfare Children in Group Home Placements since 1998 who are 0 to 17 Years of Age and 0 to 21 Years of Age**
- **Number and Percentage of Child Welfare and Probation Children in Group Home Placements located In-County, Out-of-County, and Out-of-State since 1998**
- **Change in the Number of CWS Group Home Placements since 1998, by County**

The attached tables and charts were prepared by the California Alliance of Child and Family Services using data from California's Child Welfare Services/Case Management System (CWS/CMS) obtained from the University of California at Berkeley, Center for Social Sciences Research website.

Children in CHILD WELFARE Supervised Foster Care by Placement Type: April 1, 2016

Number of Children in Each Placement Type, Each County's Percentage of the Statewide Total for Each Type of Placement, Percentage in Each Placement Type, and Prevalence per 1,000 Children in the General Population

Data Source: University of California at Berkeley, Center for Social Services Research; CWS/CMS 2016 Quarter 1 Extract; Program version: 1.35 Database version: 6A04E798.

Webster, D., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Dawson, W., Magruder, J., Exel, M., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Putnam-Hornstein, E., Wiegmann, W., Rezvani, G., Wagstaff, K., Sandoval, A., Yee, H., Xiong, B., Benton, C., Tobler, A., White, J., & Kai, C. (2016). CCWIP reports. Retrieved 8/5/2016, from University of California at Berkeley California Child Welfare Indicators Project website. URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/ucb_childwelfare>

County	Child Population (0-20)		All Placement Types					Relative/ Kin					
	in 2015	Percent of State-wide Total	Minor Children: Ages 0 to 17	Non-Minor Depend-ents: Ages 18 to 21	Total CWS Foster Care Place-ments	Percent of State-wide Total	Preva-lence per 1,000 Chil-dren	Minor Children: Ages 0 to 17	Non-Minor Depend-ents: Ages 18 to 21	Total CWS Foster Care Place-ments	Per-cent of State-wide Total	Per-cent in Each Place-ment Type	Preva-lence per 1,000 Chil-dren
California - Statewide	10,767,472	100%	54,229	7,599	61,828	100%	5.74	21,188	594	21,782	100%	35.2%	2.02
Alameda	401,954	3.7%	1,277	368	1,645	2.7%	4.09	513	22	535	2.5%	32.5%	1.33
Alpine	287	0.0%				0.0%	-				0.0%		-
Amador	6,840	0.1%	67	8	75	0.1%	10.96	27		27	0.1%	36.0%	3.95
Butte	58,519	0.5%	536	63	599	1.0%	10.24	146	5	151	0.7%	25.2%	2.58
Calaveras	9,577	0.1%	95	19	114	0.2%	11.90	39		39	0.2%	34.2%	4.07
Colusa	7,244	0.1%	18	2	20	0.0%	2.76	9		9	0.0%	45.0%	1.24
Contra Costa	300,476	2.8%	1,026	152	1,178	1.9%	3.92	308	7	315	1.4%	26.7%	1.05
Del Norte	6,815	0.1%	97	4	101	0.2%	14.82	34	2	36	0.2%	35.6%	5.28
El Dorado	44,530	0.4%	269	40	309	0.5%	6.94	99	4	103	0.5%	33.3%	2.31
Fresno	325,807	3.0%	1,889	222	2,111	3.4%	6.48	591	6	597	2.7%	28.3%	1.83
Glenn	8,927	0.1%	70	12	82	0.1%	9.19	26	2	28	0.1%	34.1%	3.14
Humboldt	32,568	0.3%	371	30	401	0.6%	12.31	191	3	194	0.9%	48.4%	5.96
Imperial	60,777	0.6%	363	35	398	0.6%	6.55	117	5	122	0.6%	30.7%	2.01
Inyo	4,436	0.0%	14	2	16	0.0%	3.61	10	1	11	0.1%	68.8%	2.48
Kern	297,421	2.8%	1,660	230	1,890	3.1%	6.35	485	11	496	2.3%	26.2%	1.67
Kings	46,956	0.4%	452	36	488	0.8%	10.39	49	2	51	0.2%	10.5%	1.09
Lake	15,652	0.1%	144	9	153	0.2%	9.78	15	2	17	0.1%	11.1%	1.09
Lassen	6,923	0.1%	46	5	51	0.1%	7.37	26		26	0.1%	51.0%	3.76
Los Angeles	2,745,350	25.5%	18,360	2,394	20,754	33.6%	7.56	8,643	295	8,938	41.0%	43.1%	3.26
Madera	49,442	0.5%	306	23	329	0.5%	6.65	81		81	0.4%	24.6%	1.64
Marin	59,680	0.6%	60	11	71	0.1%	1.19	14		14	0.1%	19.7%	0.23
Mariposa	3,491	0.0%	27	4	31	0.1%	8.88	13		13	0.1%	41.9%	3.72
Mendocino	21,965	0.2%	254	41	295	0.5%	13.43	100		100	0.5%	33.9%	4.55
Merced	93,157	0.9%	501	82	583	0.9%	6.26	136	4	140	0.6%	24.0%	1.50
Modoc	2,122	0.0%	14	1	15	0.0%	7.07	4		4	0.0%	26.7%	1.89
Mono	3,433	0.0%	3	1	4	0.0%	1.17	3		3	0.0%	75.0%	0.87
Monterey	126,706	1.2%	359	32	391	0.6%	3.09	100	1	101	0.5%	25.8%	0.80
Napa	35,808	0.3%	118	13	131	0.2%	3.66	54		54	0.2%	41.2%	1.51
Nevada	20,483	0.2%	56	11	67	0.1%	3.27	23		23	0.1%	34.3%	1.12
Orange	841,170	7.8%	1,910	299	2,209	3.6%	2.63	948	24	972	4.5%	44.0%	1.16
Placer	98,848	0.9%	260	48	308	0.5%	3.12	92	1	93	0.4%	30.2%	0.94
Plumas	3,768	0.0%	51	5	56	0.1%	14.86	15		15	0.1%	26.8%	3.98
Riverside	706,835	6.6%	3,835	461	4,296	6.9%	6.08	1,437	34	1,471	6.8%	34.2%	2.08
Sacramento	419,455	3.9%	2,417	455	2,872	4.6%	6.85	704	8	712	3.3%	24.8%	1.70
San Benito	18,374	0.2%	46	5	51	0.1%	2.78	18		18	0.1%	35.3%	0.98
San Bernardino	667,120	6.2%	5,163	482	5,645	9.1%	8.46	2,050	72	2,122	9.7%	37.6%	3.18
San Diego	870,119	8.1%	2,710	452	3,162	5.1%	3.63	1,109	10	1,119	5.1%	35.4%	1.29
San Francisco	140,447	1.3%	716	201	917	1.5%	6.53	267	16	283	1.3%	30.9%	2.01
San Joaquin	231,286	2.1%	1,433	186	1,619	2.6%	7.00	393	8	401	1.8%	24.8%	1.73
San Luis Obispo	65,907	0.6%	320	59	379	0.6%	5.75	32	2	34	0.2%	9.0%	0.52
San Mateo	188,506	1.8%	248	89	337	0.5%	1.79	73		73	0.3%	21.7%	0.39
Santa Barbara	126,158	1.2%	417	67	484	0.8%	3.84	49	1	50	0.2%	10.3%	0.40
Santa Clara	505,587	4.7%	1,049	239	1,288	2.1%	2.55	366	2	368	1.7%	28.6%	0.73
Santa Cruz	71,794	0.7%	229	46	275	0.4%	3.83	110	9	119	0.5%	43.3%	1.66
Shasta	45,233	0.4%	504	52	556	0.9%	12.29	128	1	129	0.6%	23.2%	2.85
Sierra	573	0.0%	3		3	0.0%	5.24				0.0%		-
Siskiyou	10,588	0.1%	117	7	124	0.2%	11.71	43	1	44	0.2%	35.5%	4.16
Solano	116,573	1.1%	455	64	519	0.8%	4.45	157	2	159	0.7%	30.6%	1.36
Sonoma	122,110	1.1%	407	95	502	0.8%	4.11	155	12	167	0.8%	33.3%	1.37
Stanislaus	168,726	1.6%	736	66	802	1.3%	4.75	160	4	164	0.8%	20.4%	0.97
Sutter	29,475	0.3%	142	14	156	0.3%	5.29	11		11	0.1%	7.1%	0.37
Tehama	18,082	0.2%	223	19	242	0.4%	13.38	61	1	62	0.3%	25.6%	3.43
Trinity	2,768	0.0%	52	5	57	0.1%	20.59	14		14	0.1%	24.6%	5.06
Tulare	166,281	1.5%	977	106	1,083	1.8%	6.51	377	2	379	1.7%	35.0%	2.28
Tuolumne	10,753	0.1%	98	10	108	0.2%	10.04	44	2	46	0.2%	42.6%	4.28
Ventura	237,831	2.2%	815	105	920	1.5%	3.87	390	6	396	1.8%	43.0%	1.67
Yolo	60,998	0.6%	245	57	302	0.5%	4.95	75		75	0.3%	24.8%	1.23
Yuba	24,761	0.2%	168	30	198	0.3%	8.00	51	1	52	0.2%	26.3%	2.10
Missing			31	25	56	0.1%	n/a	3	3	6	0.0%	10.7%	n/a

Children in CHILD WELFARE Supervised Foster Care by Placement Type: April 1, 2016

Number of Children in Each Placement Type, Each County's Percentage of the Statewide Total for Each Type of Placement, Percentage in Each Placement Type, and Prevalence per 1,000 Children in the General Population

Data Source: University of California at Berkeley, Center for Social Services Research; CWS/CMS 2016 Quarter 1 Extract; Program version: 1.35 Database version: 6A04E798.

Webster, D., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Dawson, W., Magruder, J., Exel, M., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Putnam-Hornstein, E., Wiegmann, W., Rezvani, G., Wagstaff, K., Sandoval, A., Yee, H., Xiong, B., Benton, C., Tobler, A., White, J., & Kai, C. (2016). CCWIP reports. Retrieved 8/5/2016, from University of California at Berkeley California Child Welfare Indicators Project website. URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/ucb_childwelfare>

County	Non-Relative Foster Family Home						Foster Family Agency					
	Minor Children: Ages 0 to 17	Non-Minor Dependents: Ages 18 to 21	Total CWS Foster Care Placements	Percent of Statewide Total	Percent in Each Placement Type	Prevalence per 1,000 Children	Minor Children: Ages 0 to 17	Non-Minor Dependents: Ages 18 to 21	Total CWS Foster Care Placements	Percent of Statewide Total	Percent in Each Placement Type	Prevalence per 1,000 Children
California - Statewide	5,560	182	5,742	100%	9.3%	0.53	14,708	626	15,334	100%	24.8%	1.42
Alameda	103	4	107	1.9%	6.5%	0.27	330	23	353	2.3%	21.5%	0.88
Alpine				0.0%		-				0.0%		-
Amador				0.0%		-	32	1	33	0.2%	44.0%	4.82
Butte	38		38	0.7%	6.3%	0.65	211	9	220	1.4%	36.7%	3.76
Calaveras				0.0%		-	37	2	39	0.3%	34.2%	4.07
Colusa	1		1	0.0%	5.0%	0.14	6		6	0.0%	30.0%	0.83
Contra Costa	167	3	170	3.0%	14.4%	0.57	270	12	282	1.8%	23.9%	0.94
Del Norte	35		35	0.6%	34.7%	5.14	3		3	0.0%	3.0%	0.44
El Dorado	19	1	20	0.3%	6.5%	0.45	94	3	97	0.6%	31.4%	2.18
Fresno	111	1	112	2.0%	5.3%	0.34	685	36	721	4.7%	34.2%	2.21
Glenn	8		8	0.1%	9.8%	0.90	14		14	0.1%	17.1%	1.57
Humboldt	69	2	71	1.2%	17.7%	2.18	34		34	0.2%	8.5%	1.04
Imperial	67		67	1.2%	16.8%	1.10	71	6	77	0.5%	19.3%	1.27
Inyo	3	1	4	0.1%	25.0%	0.90				0.0%	0.0%	-
Kern	112	2	114	2.0%	6.0%	0.38	637	13	650	4.2%	34.4%	2.19
Kings	181	1	182	3.2%	37.3%	3.88	157	3	160	1.0%	32.8%	3.41
Lake	9		9	0.2%	5.9%	0.58	58	1	59	0.4%	38.6%	3.77
Lassen	1		1	0.0%	2.0%	0.14	15	1	16	0.1%	31.4%	2.31
Los Angeles	1,261	69	1,330	23.2%	6.4%	0.48	4,548	178	4,726	30.8%	22.8%	1.72
Madera	23	1	24	0.4%	7.3%	0.49	138	6	144	0.9%	43.8%	2.91
Marin	16	2	18	0.3%	25.4%	0.30	13	2	15	0.1%	21.1%	0.25
Mariposa	2		2	0.0%	6.5%	0.57	5		5	0.0%	16.1%	1.43
Mendocino	12		12	0.2%	4.1%	0.55	90	1	91	0.6%	30.8%	4.14
Merced	30	2	32	0.6%	5.5%	0.34	190	14	204	1.3%	35.0%	2.19
Modoc	1		1	0.0%	6.7%	0.47	4		4	0.0%	26.7%	1.89
Mono				0.0%		-				0.0%	0.0%	-
Monterey	81	1	82	1.4%	21.0%	0.65	100	2	102	0.7%	26.1%	0.81
Napa	31	2	33	0.6%	25.2%	0.92	22	3	25	0.2%	19.1%	0.70
Nevada				0.0%	0.0%	-	26	1	27	0.2%	40.3%	1.32
Orange	228	8	236	4.1%	10.7%	0.28	224	15	239	1.6%	10.8%	0.28
Placer	12	1	13	0.2%	4.2%	0.13	72	5	77	0.5%	25.0%	0.78
Plumas	1		1	0.0%	1.8%	0.27	20	1	21	0.1%	37.5%	5.57
Riverside	279	17	296	5.2%	6.9%	0.42	1,383	75	1,458	9.5%	33.9%	2.06
Sacramento	204	3	207	3.6%	7.2%	0.49	795	36	831	5.4%	28.9%	1.98
San Benito	8		8	0.1%	15.7%	0.44	13	1	14	0.1%	27.5%	0.76
San Bernardino	308	12	320	5.6%	5.7%	0.48	1,661	52	1,713	11.2%	30.3%	2.57
San Diego	645	6	651	11.3%	20.6%	0.75	214	10	224	1.5%	7.1%	0.26
San Francisco	62	4	66	1.1%	7.2%	0.47	197	16	213	1.4%	23.2%	1.52
San Joaquin	142	2	144	2.5%	8.9%	0.62	490	23	513	3.3%	31.7%	2.22
San Luis Obispo	184	1	185	3.2%	48.8%	2.81	35	1	36	0.2%	9.5%	0.55
San Mateo	26	3	29	0.5%	8.6%	0.15	82	4	86	0.6%	25.5%	0.46
Santa Barbara	154	2	156	2.7%	32.2%	1.24	123	3	126	0.8%	26.0%	1.00
Santa Clara	290	5	295	5.1%	22.9%	0.58	160	10	170	1.1%	13.2%	0.34
Santa Cruz	60	2	62	1.1%	22.5%	0.86	14	1	15	0.1%	5.5%	0.21
Shasta	88	3	91	1.6%	16.4%	2.01	184	3	187	1.2%	33.6%	4.13
Sierra				0.0%		-	2		2	0.0%		3.49
Siskiyou				0.0%	0.0%	-	39	3	42	0.3%	33.9%	3.97
Solano	17		17	0.3%	3.3%	0.15	137	5	142	0.9%	27.4%	1.22
Sonoma	31	1	32	0.6%	6.4%	0.26	65	5	70	0.5%	13.9%	0.57
Stanislaus	58	2	60	1.0%	7.5%	0.36	246	13	259	1.7%	32.3%	1.54
Sutter		1	1	0.0%	0.6%	0.03	89	2	91	0.6%	58.3%	3.09
Tehama	42		42	0.7%	17.4%	2.32	61		61	0.4%	25.2%	3.37
Trinity				0.0%		-	30	1	31	0.2%	54.4%	11.20
Tulare	119	2	121	2.1%	11.2%	0.73	294	13	307	2.0%	28.3%	1.85
Tuolumne	19		19	0.3%	17.6%	1.77	24	1	25	0.2%	23.1%	2.32
Ventura	150	5	155	2.7%	16.8%	0.65	85	1	86	0.6%	9.3%	0.36
Yolo	40	2	42	0.7%	13.9%	0.69	94	3	97	0.6%	32.1%	1.59
Yuba	6		6	0.1%	3.0%	0.24	83	2	85	0.6%	42.9%	3.43
Missing	6	8	14	0.2%	25.0%	n/a	2	4	6	0.0%	10.7%	n/a

Children in CHILD WELFARE Supervised Foster Care by Placement Type: April 1, 2016

Number of Children in Each Placement Type, Each County's Percentage of the Statewide Total for Each Type of Placement, Percentage in Each Placement Type, and Prevalence per 1,000 Children in the General Population

Data Source: University of California at Berkeley, Center for Social Services Research; CWS/CMS 2016 Quarter 1 Extract; Program version: 1.35 Database version: 6A04E798.

Webster, D., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Dawson, W., Magruder, J., Exel, M., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Putnam-Hornstein, E., Wiegmann, W., Rezvani, G., Wagstaff, K., Sandoval, A., Yee, H., Xiong, B., Benton, C., Tobler, A., White, J., & Kai, C. (2016). CCWIP reports. Retrieved 8/5/2016, from University of California at Berkeley California Child Welfare Indicators Project website. URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/ucb_childwelfare>

County	Group Home						Guardian					
	Minor Children: Ages 0 to 17	Non-Minor Depend-ents: Ages 18 to 21	Total CWS Foster Care Place-ments	Percent of State-wide Total	Percent in Each Place-ment Type	Preva-lence per 1,000 Children	Minor Children: Ages 0 to 17	Non-Minor Depend-ents: Ages 18 to 21	Total CWS Foster Care Place-ments	Percent of State-wide Total	Percent in Each Place-ment Type	Preva-lence per 1,000 Children
California - Statewide	3,344	336	3,680	100%	6.0%	0.34	5,462	844	6,306	100%	10.2%	0.59
Alameda	116	16	132	3.6%	8.0%	0.33	138	31	169	2.7%	10.3%	0.42
Alpine				0.0%		-				0.0%		-
Amador	4		4	0.1%	5%	0.58	1	1	2	0.0%	2.7%	0.29
Butte	30		30	0.8%	5.0%	0.51	71	4	75	1.2%	12.5%	1.28
Calaveras	10		10	0.3%	8.8%	1.04	2	1	3	0.0%	2.6%	0.31
Colusa	1		1	0.0%	5.0%	0.14				0.0%		-
Contra Costa	88	7	95	2.6%	8.1%	0.32	154	13	167	2.6%	14.2%	0.56
Del Norte	5		5	0.1%	5.0%	0.73	14		14	0.2%	13.9%	2.05
El Dorado	16	2	18	0.5%	5.8%	0.40	24	5	29	0.5%	9.4%	0.65
Fresno	52	5	57	1.5%	2.7%	0.17	268	30	298	4.7%	14.1%	0.91
Glenn	6		6	0.2%	7.3%	0.67	10	1	11	0.2%	13.4%	1.23
Humboldt	9	3	12	0.3%	3.0%	0.37	49	4	53	0.8%	13.2%	1.63
Imperial	39	1	40	1.1%	10.1%	0.66	44	2	46	0.7%	11.6%	0.76
Inyo				0.0%		-	1		1	0.0%	6.3%	0.23
Kern	62	4	66	1.8%	3.5%	0.22	284	43	327	5.2%	17.3%	1.10
Kings	15		15	0.4%	3.1%	0.32	14	2	16	0.3%	3.3%	0.34
Lake	14		14	0.4%	9.2%	0.89	30	3	33	0.5%	21.6%	2.11
Lassen		1	1	0.0%	2.0%	0.14				0.0%		-
Los Angeles	887	131	1,018	27.7%	4.9%	0.37	1,418	298	1,716	27.2%	8.3%	0.63
Madera	7		7	0.2%	2.1%	0.14	42	2	44	0.7%	13.4%	0.89
Marin	3		3	0.1%	4.2%	0.05	8	3	11	0.2%	15.5%	0.18
Mariposa	1		1	0.0%	3%	0.29	4		4	0.1%	12.9%	1.15
Mendocino	29		29	0.8%	9.8%	1.32	14	1	15	0.2%	5.1%	0.68
Merced	15	1	16	0.4%	2.7%	0.17	76	7	83	1.3%	14.2%	0.89
Modoc	1		1	0.0%	6.7%	0.47	2		2	0.0%	13.3%	0.94
Mono		1	1	0.0%	25%	0.29				0.0%		-
Monterey	34	1	35	1.0%	9.0%	0.28	29	3	32	0.5%	8.2%	0.25
Napa	1		1	0.0%	0.8%	0.03	9		9	0.1%	6.9%	0.25
Nevada	3		3	0.1%	4.5%	0.15				0.0%	0.0%	-
Orange	184	6	190	5.2%	8.6%	0.23	132	29	161	2.6%	7.3%	0.19
Placer	12		12	0.3%	3.9%	0.12	36	6	42	0.7%	13.6%	0.42
Plumas	5		5	0.1%	8.9%	1.33				0.0%		-
Riverside	198	27	225	6.1%	5.2%	0.32	362	72	434	6.9%	10.1%	0.61
Sacramento	234	20	254	6.9%	8.8%	0.61	339	62	401	6.4%	14.0%	0.96
San Benito				0.0%	0.0%	-		1	1	0.0%	2.0%	0.05
San Bernardino	350	27	377	10.2%	6.7%	0.57	645	88	733	11.6%	13.0%	1.10
San Diego	218	30	248	6.7%	7.8%	0.29	248	39	287	4.6%	9.1%	0.33
San Francisco	79	6	85	2.3%	9.3%	0.61	71	6	77	1.2%	8.4%	0.55
San Joaquin	150	10	160	4.3%	9.9%	0.69	135	7	142	2.3%	8.8%	0.61
San Luis Obispo	23		23	0.6%	6.1%	0.35	22	4	26	0.4%	6.9%	0.39
San Mateo	18	2	20	0.5%	5.9%	0.11	26	3	29	0.5%	8.6%	0.15
Santa Barbara	30	3	33	0.9%	6.8%	0.26	14	2	16	0.3%	3.3%	0.13
Santa Clara	110	7	117	3.2%	9.1%	0.23	64	6	70	1.1%	5.4%	0.14
Santa Cruz	23	2	25	0.7%	9.1%	0.35	1		1	0.0%	0.4%	0.01
Shasta	14		14	0.4%	2.5%	0.31	64	4	68	1.1%	12.2%	1.50
Sierra	1		1	0.0%	33.3%	1.75				0.0%		-
Siskiyou	5		5	0.1%	4.0%	0.47	18		18	0.3%	14.5%	1.70
Solano	40	7	47	1.3%	9.1%	0.40	84	7	91	1.4%	17.5%	0.78
Sonoma	29	1	30	0.8%	6.0%	0.25	51	6	57	0.9%	11.4%	0.47
Stanislaus	34	3	37	1.0%	4.6%	0.22	163	5	168	2.7%	20.9%	1.00
Sutter	13	1	14	0.4%	9.0%	0.47	15	2	17	0.3%	10.9%	0.58
Tehama	6		6	0.2%	2.5%	0.33	39	4	43	0.7%	17.8%	2.38
Trinity	2		2	0.1%	3.5%	0.72	2		2	0.0%	3.5%	0.72
Tulare	31	1	32	0.9%	3.0%	0.19	101	18	119	1.9%	11.0%	0.72
Tuolumne	3		3	0.1%	2.8%	0.28	6		6	0.1%	5.6%	0.56
Ventura	60	8	68	1.8%	7.4%	0.29	88	15	103	1.6%	11.2%	0.43
Yolo	13		13	0.4%	4.3%	0.21	15	1	16	0.3%	5.3%	0.26
Yuba	10	2	12	0.3%	6.1%	0.48	10	2	12	0.2%	6.1%	0.48
Missing	1		1	0.0%	1.8%	n/a	5	1	6	0.1%	10.7%	n/a

Prepared by: California Alliance of Child and Family Services

Children in CHILD WELFARE Supervised Foster Care by Placement Type: April 1, 2016

Number of Children in Each Placement Type, Each County's Percentage of the Statewide Total for Each Type of Placement, Percentage in Each Placement Type, and Prevalence per 1,000 Children in the General Population

Data Source: University of California at Berkeley, Center for Social Services Research; CWS/CMS 2016 Quarter 1 Extract; Program version: 1.35 Database version: 6A04E798.

Webster, D., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Dawson, W., Magruder, J., Exel, M., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Putnam-Hornstein, E., Wiegmann, W., Rezvani, G., Wagstaff, K., Sandoval, A., Yee, H., Xiong, B., Benton, C., Tobler, A., White, J., & Kai, C. (2016). CCWIP reports. Retrieved 8/5/2016, from University of California at Berkeley California Child Welfare Indicators Project website. URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/ucb_childwelfare>

County	AB 12 Supervised Independent Living Placment (SILP)						Pre-Adoption					
	Minor Children: Ages 0 to 17	Non-Minor Depend-ents: Ages 18 to 21	Total CWS Foster Care Place-ments	Percent of State-wide Total	Percent in Each Place-ment Type	Preva-lence per 1,000 Children	Minor Children: Ages 0 to 17	Non-Minor Depend-ents: Ages 18 to 21	Total CWS Foster Care Place-ments	Percent of State-wide Total	Percent in Each Place-ment Type	Preva-lence per 1,000 Children
California - Statewide		3,165	3,165	100%	5.1%	0.29	1,863	25	1,888	100%	3.1%	0.18
Alameda		102	102	3.2%	6.2%	0.25	17		17	0.9%	1.0%	0.04
Alpine				0.0%		-				0.0%		-
Amador		1	1	0.0%	1.3%	0.15	2		2	0.1%	2.7%	0.29
Butte		7	7	0.2%	1.2%	0.12	13		13	0.7%	2.2%	0.22
Calaveras		15	15	0.5%	13.2%	1.57	3		3	0.2%	2.6%	0.31
Colusa		1	1	0.0%	5.0%	0.14				0.0%		-
Contra Costa		58	58	1.8%	4.9%	0.19	20		20	1.1%	1.7%	0.07
Del Norte		2	2	0.1%	2.0%	0.29	3		3	0.2%	3.0%	0.44
El Dorado		7	7	0.2%	2.3%	0.16	3		3	0.2%	1.0%	0.07
Fresno		119	119	3.8%	5.6%	0.37	20		20	1.1%	0.9%	0.06
Glenn				0.0%	0.0%	-	2		2	0.1%	2.4%	0.22
Humboldt		16	16	0.5%	4.0%	0.49	7		7	0.4%	1.7%	0.21
Imperial		14	14	0.4%	3.5%	0.23				0.0%		-
Inyo				0.0%	0.0%	-				0.0%		-
Kern		135	135	4.3%	7.1%	0.45	9	2	11	0.6%	0.6%	0.04
Kings		28	28	0.9%	5.7%	0.60	8		8	0.4%	1.6%	0.17
Lake		2	2	0.1%	1.3%	0.13	6		6	0.3%	3.9%	0.38
Lassen		3	3	0.1%	5.9%	0.43	2		2	0.1%	3.9%	0.29
Los Angeles		1,004	1,004	31.7%	4.8%	0.37	1,093	14	1,107	58.6%	5.3%	0.40
Madera		10	10	0.3%	3.0%	0.20	2		2	0.1%	0.6%	0.04
Marin		4	4	0.1%	5.6%	0.07	6		6	0.3%	8.5%	0.10
Mariposa		2	2	0.1%	6.5%	0.57				0.0%		-
Mendocino		23	23	0.7%	7.8%	1.05	5		5	0.3%	1.7%	0.23
Merced		39	39	1.2%	6.7%	0.42	4	2	6	0.3%	1.0%	0.06
Modoc		1	1	0.0%	6.7%	0.47				0.0%		-
Mono				0.0%	0.0%	-				0.0%	0.0%	-
Monterey		14	14	0.4%	3.6%	0.11	4		4	0.2%	1.0%	0.03
Napa		3	3	0.1%	2.3%	0.08				0.0%		-
Nevada		9	9	0.3%	13.4%	0.44	1		1	0.1%	1.5%	0.05
Orange		142	142	4.5%	6.4%	0.17	58		58	3.1%	2.6%	0.07
Placer		5	5	0.2%	1.6%	0.05	10		10	0.5%	3.2%	0.10
Plumas		1	1	0.0%	1.8%	0.27	2		2	0.1%	3.6%	0.53
Riverside		156	156	4.9%	3.6%	0.22	34	1	35	1.9%	0.8%	0.05
Sacramento		223	223	7.0%	7.8%	0.53	69		69	3.7%	2.4%	0.16
San Benito		3	3	0.1%	5.9%	0.16	3		3	0.2%	5.9%	0.16
San Bernardino		139	139	4.4%	2.5%	0.21	40		40	2.1%	0.7%	0.06
San Diego		213	213	6.7%	6.7%	0.24	176	2	178	9.4%	5.6%	0.20
San Francisco		78	78	2.5%	8.5%	0.56	6		6	0.3%	0.7%	0.04
San Joaquin		92	92	2.9%	5.7%	0.40	39	2	41	2.2%	2.5%	0.18
San Luis Obispo		34	34	1.1%	9.0%	0.52	1		1	0.1%	0.3%	0.02
San Mateo		67	67	2.1%	19.9%	0.36	2		2	0.1%	0.6%	0.01
Santa Barbara		49	49	1.5%	10.1%	0.39	26		26	1.4%	5.4%	0.21
Santa Clara		123	123	3.9%	9.5%	0.24	25		25	1.3%	1.9%	0.05
Santa Cruz		8	8	0.3%	2.9%	0.11	7		7	0.4%	2.5%	0.10
Shasta		3	3	0.1%	0.5%	0.07	22		22	1.2%	4.0%	0.49
Sierra				0.0%		-				0.0%		-
Siskiyou		3	3	0.1%	2.4%	0.28	8		8	0.4%	6.5%	0.76
Solano		11	11	0.3%	2.1%	0.09	5		5	0.3%	1.0%	0.04
Sonoma		40	40	1.3%	8.0%	0.33	36	1	37	2.0%	7.4%	0.30
Stanislaus		14	14	0.4%	1.7%	0.08	4		4	0.2%	0.5%	0.02
Sutter				0.0%	0.0%	-	2		2	0.1%	1.3%	0.07
Tehama		14	14	0.4%	5.8%	0.77	8		8	0.4%	3.3%	0.44
Trinity		3	3	0.1%	5.3%	1.08	2		2	0.1%	3.5%	0.72
Tulare		62	62	2.0%	5.7%	0.37	32		32	1.7%	3.0%	0.19
Tuolumne		4	4	0.1%	3.7%	0.37	1		1	0.1%	0.9%	0.09
Ventura		33	33	1.0%	3.6%	0.14	6		6	0.3%	0.7%	0.03
Yolo		22	22	0.7%	7.3%	0.36	2		2	0.1%	0.7%	0.03
Yuba		4	4	0.1%	2.0%	0.16	3		3	0.2%	1.5%	0.12
Missing				0.0%	0.0%	n/a	4	1	5	0.3%	8.9%	n/a

Children in CHILD WELFARE Supervised Foster Care by Placement Type: April 1, 2016

Number of Children in Each Placement Type, Each County's Percentage of the Statewide Total for Each Type of Placement, Percentage in Each Placement Type, and Prevalence per 1,000 Children in the General Population

Data Source: University of California at Berkeley, Center for Social Services Research; CWS/CMS 2016 Quarter 1 Extract; Program version: 1.35 Database version: 6A04E798.

Webster, D., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Dawson, W., Magruder, J., Exel, M., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Putnam-Hornstein, E., Wiegmann, W., Rezvani, G., Wagstaff, K., Sandoval, A., Yee, H., Xiong, B., Benton, C., Tobler, A., White, J., & Kai, C. (2016). CCWIP reports. Retrieved 8/5/2016, from University of California at Berkeley California Child Welfare Indicators Project website. URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/ucb_childwelfare>

County	Trial Home Visit						Transitional Housing					
	Minor Children: Ages 0 to 17	Non-Minor Dependents: Ages 18 to 21	Total CWS Foster Care Placements	Percent of Statewide Total	Percent in Each Placement Type	Prevalence per 1,000 Children	Minor Children: Ages 0 to 17	Non-Minor Dependents: Ages 18 to 21	Total CWS Foster Care Placements	Percent of Statewide Total	Percent in Each Placement Type	Prevalence per 1,000 Children
California - Statewide	406	1	407	100%	0.7%	0.04	71	1,240	1,311	100%	2.1%	0.12
Alameda	4		4	1.0%	0.2%	0.01	6	135	141	10.8%	8.6%	0.35
Alpine				0.0%		-				0.0%		-
Amador				0.0%	0%	-		5	5	0.4%	6.7%	0.73
Butte	12		12	2.9%	2.0%	0.21	2	33	35	2.7%	5.8%	0.60
Calaveras				0.0%	0.0%	-				0.0%		-
Colusa				0.0%	0.0%	-				0.0%		-
Contra Costa				0.0%	0.0%	-	1	44	45	3.4%	3.8%	0.15
Del Norte				0.0%	0.0%	-				0.0%	0.0%	-
El Dorado	1		1	0.2%	0.3%	0.02		15	15	1.1%	4.9%	0.34
Fresno	94		94	23.1%	4.5%	0.29	1	12	13	1.0%	0.6%	0.04
Glenn				0.0%	0.0%	-		9	9	0.7%	11.0%	1.01
Humboldt	1		1	0.2%	0.2%	0.03				0.0%		-
Imperial				0.0%	0.0%	-		3	3	0.2%	0.8%	0.05
Inyo				0.0%		-				0.0%		-
Kern	9		9	2.2%	0.5%	0.03		12	12	0.9%	0.6%	0.04
Kings	15		15	3.7%	3.1%	0.32				0.0%		-
Lake				0.0%		-				0.0%		-
Lassen				0.0%		-	1		1	0.1%	2.0%	0.14
Los Angeles	36		36	8.8%	0.2%	0.01	4	201	205	15.6%	1.0%	0.07
Madera				0.0%		-		2	2	0.2%	0.6%	0.04
Marin				0.0%		-				0.0%	0.0%	-
Mariposa	1		1	0.2%	3%	0.29				0.0%	0.0%	-
Mendocino				0.0%		-		11	11	0.8%	3.7%	0.50
Merced	36		36	8.8%	6.2%	0.39		12	12	0.9%	2.1%	0.13
Modoc				0.0%		-				0.0%		-
Mono				0.0%		-				0.0%		-
Monterey				0.0%		-	3	8	11	0.8%	2.8%	0.09
Napa				0.0%		-		5	5	0.4%	3.8%	0.14
Nevada				0.0%		-				0.0%	0.0%	-
Orange	64		64	15.7%	2.9%	0.08	7	41	48	3.7%	2.2%	0.06
Placer	4		4	1.0%	1.3%	0.04		20	20	1.5%	6.5%	0.20
Plumas				0.0%		-	6	2	8	0.6%		2.12
Riverside				0.0%		-		56	56	4.3%	1.3%	0.08
Sacramento				0.0%		-	2	78	80	6.1%	2.8%	0.19
San Benito				0.0%		-	3		3	0.2%	5.9%	0.16
San Bernardino	5		5	1.2%	0.1%	0.01		62	62	4.7%	1.1%	0.09
San Diego	4		4	1.0%	0.1%	0.00	1	110	111	8.5%	3.5%	0.13
San Francisco	6		6	1.5%	0.7%	0.04	7	59	66	5.0%	7.2%	0.47
San Joaquin	8		8	2.0%	0.5%	0.03		15	15	1.1%	0.9%	0.06
San Luis Obispo	7		7	1.7%	1.8%	0.11	8	9	17	1.3%	4.5%	0.26
San Mateo	1		1	0.2%	0.3%	0.01		8	8	0.6%	2.4%	0.04
Santa Barbara				0.0%		-	4	5	9	0.7%	1.9%	0.07
Santa Clara				0.0%		-	11	69	80	6.1%	6.2%	0.16
Santa Cruz	11		11	2.7%	4.0%	0.15		18	18	1.4%	6.5%	0.25
Shasta				0.0%	0.0%	-	1	36	37	2.8%	6.7%	0.82
Sierra				0.0%		-				0.0%		-
Siskiyou				0.0%		-	1		1	0.1%	0.8%	0.09
Solano				0.0%		-		26	26	2.0%	5.0%	0.22
Sonoma		1	1	0.2%	0.2%	0.01	2	15	17	1.3%	3.4%	0.14
Stanislaus	51		51	12.5%	6.4%	0.30		20	20	1.5%	2.5%	0.12
Sutter	7		7	1.7%	4.5%	0.24		7	7	0.5%	4.5%	0.24
Tehama				0.0%		-				0.0%		-
Trinity				0.0%		-				0.0%		-
Tulare				0.0%		-				0.0%		-
Tuolumne	1		1	0.2%	0.9%	0.09		3	3	0.2%	2.8%	0.28
Ventura	25		25	6.1%	2.7%	0.11		30	30	2.3%	3.3%	0.13
Yolo				0.0%		-		28	28	2.1%	9.3%	0.46
Yuba	1		1	0.2%	0.5%	0.04		16	16	1.2%	8.1%	0.65
Missing	2		2	0.5%	3.6%	n/a				0.0%		n/a

Children in CHILD WELFARE Supervised Foster Care by Placement Type: April 1, 2016

Number of Children in Each Placement Type, Each County's Percentage of the Statewide Total for Each Type of Placement, Percentage in Each Placement Type, and Prevalence per 1,000 Children in the General Population

Data Source: University of California at Berkeley, Center for Social Services Research; CWS/CMS 2016 Quarter 1 Extract; Program version: 1.35 Database version: 6A04E798.

Webster, D., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Dawson, W., Magruder, J., Exel, M., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Putnam-Hornstein, E., Wiegmann, W., Rezvani, G., Wagstaff, K., Sandoval, A., Yee, H., Xiong, B., Benton, C., Tobler, A., White, J., & Kai, C. (2016). CCWIP reports. Retrieved 8/5/2016, from University of California at Berkeley California Child Welfare Indicators Project website. URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/ucb_childwelfare>

County	Runaways						Other: Shelter (134), Non-Foster Care (274), Court Specified Home (195), and Other (851)					
	Minor Children: Ages 0 to 17	Non-Minor Depend-ents: Ages 18 to 21	Total CWS Foster Care Place-ments	Percent of State-wide Total	Percent in Each Place-ment Type	Preva-lence per 1,000 Children	Minor Children: Ages 0 to 17	Non-Minor Depend-ents: Ages 18 to 21	Total CWS Foster Care Place-ments	Percent of State-wide Total	Percent in Each Place-ment Type	Preva-lence per 1,000 Children
California - Statewide	663	96	759	100%	1.2%	0.07	1,627	586	1,454	100%	2.4%	0.14
Alameda	33	18	51	6.7%	3.1%	0.13	50	35	34	2.3%	2.1%	0.08
Alpine				0.0%		-	-	-	-	0.0%		-
Amador	1		1	0.1%	1.3%	0.15	1	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Butte	8		8	1.1%	1.3%	0.14	13	5	10	0.7%	1.7%	0.17
Calaveras	1		1	0.1%	0.9%	0.10	4	1	4	0.3%	3.5%	0.42
Colusa	1		1	0.1%	5.0%	0.14	1	1	1	0.1%	5.0%	0.14
Contra Costa	2	1	3	0.4%	0.3%	0.01	18	8	23	1.6%	2.0%	0.08
Del Norte	2		2	0.3%	2.0%	0.29	3	-	1	0.1%	1.0%	0.15
El Dorado	4		4	0.5%	1.3%	0.09	13	3	12	0.8%	3.9%	0.27
Fresno	22		22	2.9%	1.0%	0.07	67	13	58	4.0%	2.7%	0.18
Glenn	1		1	0.1%	1.2%	0.11	4	-	3	0.2%	3.7%	0.34
Humboldt	2		2	0.3%	0.5%	0.06	11	2	11	0.8%	2.7%	0.34
Imperial		1	1	0.1%	0.3%	0.02	25	4	28	1.9%	7.0%	0.46
Inyo				0.0%		-	-	-	-	0.0%		-
Kern	12	1	13	1.7%	0.7%	0.04	62	8	57	3.9%	3.0%	0.19
Kings	10		10	1.3%	2.0%	0.21	13	-	3	0.2%	0.6%	0.06
Lake	3		3	0.4%	2.0%	0.19	12	1	10	0.7%	6.5%	0.64
Lassen	1		1	0.1%	2.0%	0.14	1	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Los Angeles	231	43	274	36.1%	1.3%	0.10	470	204	400	27.5%	1.9%	0.15
Madera	3		3	0.4%	0.9%	0.06	13	2	12	0.8%	3.6%	0.24
Marin				0.0%		-	-	-	-	0.0%		-
Mariposa				0.0%	0.0%	-	1	2	3	0.2%	9.7%	0.86
Mendocino	2		2	0.3%	0.7%	0.09	4	5	7	0.5%	2.4%	0.32
Merced	7		7	0.9%	1.2%	0.08	14	1	8	0.6%	1.4%	0.09
Modoc				0.0%	0.0%	-	2	-	2	0.1%	13.3%	0.94
Mono				0.0%		-	-	-	-	0.0%		-
Monterey	6		6	0.8%	1.5%	0.05	8	2	4	0.3%	1.0%	0.03
Napa				0.0%	0.0%	-	1	-	1	0.1%	0.8%	0.03
Nevada				0.0%	0.0%	-	3	1	4	0.3%	6.0%	0.20
Orange	11	1	12	1.6%	0.5%	0.01	65	34	87	6.0%	3.9%	0.10
Placer	2		2	0.3%	0.6%	0.02	22	10	30	2.1%	9.7%	0.30
Plumas				0.0%	0.0%	-	2	1	3	0.2%	5.4%	0.80
Riverside	55	4	59	7.8%	1.4%	0.08	142	23	106	7.3%	2.5%	0.15
Sacramento	44	3	47	6.2%	1.6%	0.11	70	25	48	3.3%	1.7%	0.11
San Benito				0.0%	0.0%	-	1	-	1	0.1%	2.0%	0.05
San Bernardino	60	7	67	8.8%	1.2%	0.10	104	30	67	4.6%	1.2%	0.10
San Diego	19		19	2.5%	0.6%	0.02	95	32	108	7.4%	3.4%	0.12
San Francisco	13	3	16	2.1%	1.7%	0.11	21	16	21	1.4%	2.3%	0.15
San Joaquin	30		30	4.0%	1.9%	0.13	76	27	73	5.0%	4.5%	0.32
San Luis Obispo	3		3	0.4%	0.8%	0.05	8	8	13	0.9%	3.4%	0.20
San Mateo	4		4	0.5%	1.2%	0.02	20	2	18	1.2%	5.3%	0.10
Santa Barbara	6		6	0.8%	1.2%	0.05	17	2	13	0.9%	2.7%	0.10
Santa Clara	11	6	17	2.2%	1.3%	0.03	23	17	23	1.6%	1.8%	0.05
Santa Cruz	1	1	2	0.3%	0.7%	0.03	3	6	7	0.5%	2.5%	0.10
Shasta	2		2	0.3%	0.4%	0.04	3	2	3	0.2%	0.5%	0.07
Sierra				0.0%		-	-	-	-	0.0%		-
Siskiyou	3		3	0.4%	2.4%	0.28	3	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Solano	9	1	10	1.3%	1.9%	0.09	15	6	11	0.8%	2.1%	0.09
Sonoma	7	2	9	1.2%	1.8%	0.07	38	13	42	2.9%	8.4%	0.34
Stanislaus	4	1	5	0.7%	0.6%	0.03	20	5	20	1.4%	2.5%	0.12
Sutter	2		2	0.3%	1.3%	0.07	5	1	4	0.3%	2.6%	0.14
Tehama				0.0%	0.0%	-	6	-	6	0.4%	2.5%	0.33
Trinity	1		1	0.1%	1.8%	0.36	2	1	2	0.1%	3.5%	0.72
Tulare	11	3	14	1.8%	1.3%	0.08	23	8	17	1.2%	1.6%	0.10
Tuolumne				0.0%		-	-	-	-	0.0%		-
Ventura	8		8	1.1%	0.9%	0.03	11	7	10	0.7%	1.1%	0.04
Yolo	2		2	0.3%	0.7%	0.03	6	1	5	0.3%	1.7%	0.08
Yuba	3		3	0.4%	1.5%	0.12	4	3	4	0.3%	2.0%	0.16
Missing				0.0%	0.0%	n/a	8	8	16	1.1%	28.6%	n/a

Prepared by: California Alliance of Child and Family Services

Caseload as of the beginning of the month		Children in Child Welfare Supervised Foster Care Placed in Group Homes: 1998 - 2016																			Prevalence of Child Welfare Group Home Placements per 10,000 Children in the General County Population	
		Counties Sorted in Alpha Order																				
		As of April 1 of Each Year																			Child Population (0 - 20) [as of 2015]	Prevalence of Group Home Placements on April 1, 2016
		1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016		
California - Statewide		6,678	6,529	6,430	6,405	6,857	7,066	6,955	6,680	6,223	5,701	5,124	4,339	3,828	3,926	3,731	3,723	3,753	3,722	3,680	10,767,472	3.4
1	Alameda	509	482	479	458	493	487	446	427	353	343	324	243	167	134	129	129	111	126	132	401,954	3.3
2	Alpine	1		1	1	1	1	1													287	-
3	Amador	3	2	2	6	3	2	3	1	3		1	1		2	2	4	4	1	4	6,840	5.8
4	Butte	28	34	24	31	40	28	27	24	26	24	23	21	22	19	29	26	19	22	30	58,519	5.1
5	Calaveras	5	6	6	5	5	8	7	10	3	1	2	1	1		3		8	14	10	9,577	10.4
6	Colusa							1		4	1		3	1	3		4		1	1	7,244	1.4
7	Contra Costa	207	240	227	224	222	234	239	249	239	220	167	152	130	127	121	132	115	99	95	300,476	3.2
8	Del Norte	5	7	10	6	3	4	4	6	3	3	8	3	1	2	3	3		5	5	6,815	7.3
9	El Dorado	13	18	21	16	12	9	14	16	27	19	23	27	25	25	17	21	31	29	18	44,530	4.0
10	Fresno	154	125	126	152	161	188	190	182	161	139	124	114	105	100	74	76	80	94	57	325,807	1.7
11	Glenn	6	4	6	7	5	4	7	5	7	7	5	2	1	2	3	7	7	8	6	8,927	6.7
12	Humboldt	19	15	10	12	15	5	6	6	4	3	6	3	2	6	3	8	12	11	12	32,568	3.7
13	Imperial	30	31	40	35	40	45	47	51	53	70	57	55	34	25	20	23	40	43	40	60,777	6.6
14	Inyo	1		2	4	4	5	1										1			4,436	-
15	Kern	79	76	79	96	105	148	153	201	210	201	147	124	99	92	87	67	65	66	66	297,421	2.2
16	Kings	11	11	11	9	13	9	11	6	11	11	14	18	13	11	12	11	16	18	15	46,956	3.2
17	Lake	14	14	21	19	17	18	23	22	23	28	18	16	7	16	16	11	13	9	14	15,652	8.9
18	Lassen	3	2	2	3	4	7	11	8	7	4	4	4	7	12	5	4	7	2	1	6,923	1.4
19	Los Angeles	2,481	2,205	2,094	2,014	2,078	2,131	2,035	1,901	1,631	1,363	1,228	899	858	1,012	979	956	1,008	1,007	1,018	2,745,350	3.7
20	Madera	3	3	3	4	10	12	11	18	15	12	12	14	16	13	9	9	8	11	7	49,442	1.4
21	Marin	21	19	20	12	22	17	11	8	5	7	2	1	3	3	3	2	2	3	3	59,680	0.5
22	Mariposa	1	2	2	1	2	3	10	7	6	11	5	2	1	5	2	2	1	1	1	3,491	2.9
23	Mendocino	15	27	27	35	37	39	37	40	48	46	43	33	27	30	37	34	28	27	29	21,965	13.2
24	Merced	18	19	22	24	22	27	25	22	34	38	35	28	26	28	26	28	20	22	16	93,157	1.7
25	Modoc	2	3	6	4	1	1						3	1	1				2	1	2,122	4.7
26	Mono	1	2					1					1	2	1	2	2	1	2	1	3,433	2.9
27	Monterey	46	43	44	43	46	61	68	57	56	52	59	52	45	44	38	38	30	42	35	126,706	2.8
28	Napa	11	13	15	13	9	8	13	15	14	14	7	6	10	11	9	5	2	1	1	35,808	0.3
29	Nevada	12	9	8	8	5	6	4	2	4	3	3	3	4	2	8	6	5	6	3	20,483	1.5
30	Orange	637	712	658	586	632	670	547	439	402	274	250	232	212	236	194	180	196	171	190	841,170	2.3
31	Placer	35	43	38	43	38	33	33	29	22	19	22	20	13	11	12	9	14	11	12	98,848	1.2
32	Plumas	2	3	3	3	2	2	1	1		4	4	4	4	3	1	2	3	3	5	3,768	13.3

Prepared by: California Alliance of Child and Family Services

Caseload as of the beginning of the month	Children in Child Welfare Supervised Foster Care Placed in Group Homes: 1998 - 2016																			Prevalence of Child Welfare Group Home Placements per 10,000 Children in the General County Population	
	Counties Sorted in Alpha Order																				
	As of April 1 of Each Year																			Child Population (0 - 20) [as of 2015]	Prevalence of Group Home Placements on April 1, 2016
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016		
California - Statewide	6,678	6,529	6,430	6,405	6,857	7,066	6,955	6,680	6,223	5,701	5,124	4,339	3,828	3,926	3,731	3,723	3,753	3,722	3,680	10,767,472	3.4
33 Riverside	295	304	309	315	356	351	372	372	344	388	325	257	211	196	181	191	236	247	225	706,835	3.2
34 Sacramento	336	380	425	479	481	459	446	383	366	345	294	300	204	239	214	226	235	239	254	419,455	6.1
35 San Benito	7	5	6	2	10	8	9	7	8	4	1	4	1	3	1		1	1		18,374	-
36 San Bernardino	301	281	269	273	342	381	452	419	387	310	297	234	192	219	220	266	304	340	377	667,120	5.7
37 San Diego	447	485	521	533	608	664	708	742	702	702	630	571	509	444	417	375	293	252	248	870,119	2.9
38 San Francisco	179	180	162	150	165	151	161	176	184	165	149	130	141	114	103	101	96	82	85	140,447	6.1
39 San Joaquin	59	51	56	71	91	98	108	108	126	119	116	95	98	116	121	118	133	128	160	231,286	6.9
40 San Luis Obispo	29	28	39	29	26	24	24	13	13	17	19	13	23	26	18	19	24	27	23	65,907	3.5
41 San Mateo	27	26	36	38	49	61	48	44	40	39	24	21	31	32	33	40	32	21	20	188,506	1.1
42 Santa Barbara	31	35	26	26	29	29	43	48	51	59	57	43	50	49	58	53	44	44	33	126,158	2.6
43 Santa Clara	208	205	177	197	207	197	179	182	188	216	192	184	143	140	125	133	131	138	117	505,587	2.3
44 Santa Cruz	21	25	22	18	24	20	25	36	36	32	22	24	14	23	22	27	26	24	25	71,794	3.5
45 Shasta	34	29	31	31	28	31	35	31	21	26	28	29	23	20	23	25	27	10	14	45,233	3.1
46 Sierra					2	1	1	1						3	1				1	573	17.5
47 Siskiyou	10	10	14	16	11	17	12	12	12	11	8	6	6	4	3	3	4	5	5	10,588	4.7
48 Solano	16	18	23	25	19	29	29	32	31	32	29	16	21	28	30	36	29	41	47	116,573	4.0
49 Sonoma	50	50	68	81	92	87	84	81	86	96	99	112	106	85	95	85	69	61	30	122,110	2.5
50 Stanislaus	29	29	20	13	26	24	15	30	32	22	18	25	27	23	25	24	25	31	37	168,726	2.2
51 Sutter	15	15	14	14	12	13	10	4	6	5	7	6	5	10	11	10	13	11	14	29,475	4.7
52 Tehama	5	4	2	9	5	3	4	2	2	9	7	8	6	2	2	3	6	7	6	18,082	3.3
53 Trinity	1	2	1	1	1	2	1	2	3	1	3	5	4	3	5	6	5	6	2	2,768	7.2
54 Tulare	42	36	53	48	52	57	56	56	64	51	48	30	28	20	20	24	33	29	32	166,281	1.9
55 Tuolumne	3	3	3	4	2	1	3	2	2	2	1		1	2	3	1	2	1	3	10,753	2.8
56 Ventura	97	86	83	82	83	70	66	71	72	71	86	77	86	99	111	116	100	90	68	237,831	2.9
57 Yolo	35	34	33	43	56	41	43	46	48	40	47	42	40	29	27	21	20	10	13	60,998	2.1
58 Yuba	23	28	23	28	28	30	27	19	17	15	16	16	18	14	15	19	17	19	12	24,761	4.8
Missing	5	10	7	5	5	5	7	8	11	7	8	6	3	7	3	2	1	1	1		
Rest-of-State without Los Angeles	4,197	4,324	4,336	4,391	4,779	4,935	4,920	4,779	4,592	4,338	3,896	3,440	2,970	2,914	2,752	2,767	2,745	2,715	2,662	8,022,122	3.3
LA as a percentage of California-Statewide total	37.2%	33.8%	32.6%	31.4%	30.3%	30.2%	29.3%	28.5%	26.2%	23.9%	24.0%	20.7%	22.4%	25.8%	26.2%	25.7%	26.9%	27.1%	27.7%		

Children in Child Welfare Supervised Foster Care Placed in Group Homes: 1998 - 2016

Counties sorted in descending order by change in caseload

Caseload Change since January 1, 1998				Caseload Change in the 10-year period since April 1, 2006				Caseload Change in the 5-year period since April 1, 2011				Caseload Change in the 2-year period since April 1, 2014				Caseload Change in the 1-year period since April 1, 2015			
	Counties sorted in descending order	Caseload	Percent		Counties sorted in descending order	Caseload	Percent		Counties sorted in descending order	Caseload	Percent		Counties sorted in descending order	Caseload	Percent		Counties sorted in descending order	Caseload	Percent
	California - Statewide	(2,998)	-45%		California - Statewide	(2,543)	-41%		California - Statewide	(246)	-6%		California - Statewide	(73)	-2%		California - Statewide	(42)	-1%
1	San Joaquin	101	171%	1	San Joaquin	34	27%	1	San Bernardino	158	72%	1	San Bernardino	73	24%	1	San Bernardino	37	11%
2	San Bernardino	76	25%	2	Solano	16	52%	2	San Joaquin	44	38%	2	San Joaquin	27	20%	2	San Joaquin	32	25%
3	Solano	31	194%	3	San Luis Obispo	10	77%	3	Riverside	29	15%	3	Alameda	21	19%	3	Orange	19	11%
4	Mendocino	14	93%	4	Humboldt	8	200%	4	Solano	19	68%	4	Sacramento	19	8%	4	Sacramento	15	6%
5	Imperial	10	33%	5	Sutter	8	133%	5	Imperial	15	60%	5	Solano	18	62%	5	Los Angeles	11	1%
6	Stanislaus	8	28%	6	Calaveras	7	233%	6	Sacramento	15	6%	6	Stanislaus	12	48%	6	Butte	8	36%
7	Calaveras	5	100%	7	Plumas	5		7	Stanislaus	14	61%	7	Butte	11	58%	7	Alameda	6	5%
8	El Dorado	5	38%	8	Stanislaus	5	16%	8	Tulare	12	60%	8	Los Angeles	10	1%	8	Solano	6	15%
9	Kings	4	36%	9	Butte	4	15%	9	Butte	11	58%	9	Del Norte	5		9	Stanislaus	6	19%
10	Madera	4	133%	10	Kings	4	36%	10	Calaveras	10		10	Monterey	5	17%	10	Lake	5	56%
11	Santa Cruz	4	19%	11	Tehama	4	200%	11	Humboldt	6	100%	11	Calaveras	2	25%	11	Shasta	4	40%
12	Plumas	3	150%	12	Del Norte	2	67%	12	Los Angeles	6	1%	12	Plumas	2	67%	12	Amador	3	300%
13	Butte	2	7%	13	Amador	1	33%	13	Glenn	4	200%	13	Colusa	1		13	San Francisco	3	4%
14	Santa Barbara	2	6%	14	Modoc	1		14	Kings	4	36%	14	Kern	1	2%	14	Sutter	3	27%
15	Amador	1	33%	15	Mono	1		15	Sutter	4	40%	15	Lake	1	8%	15	Tulare	3	10%
16	Colusa	1		16	Sierra	1		16	Tehama	4	200%	16	Marin	1	50%	16	Yolo	3	30%
17	Sierra	1		17	Tuolumne	1	50%	17	Del Norte	3	150%	17	Mendocino	1	4%	17	Mendocino	2	7%
18	Tehama	1	20%	18	Alpine	-		18	Amador	2	100%	18	Modoc	1		18	Plumas	2	67%
19	Trinity	1	100%	19	Inyo	-		19	Plumas	2	67%	19	Sierra	1		19	Tuolumne	2	200%
20	Del Norte	-	0%	20	Glenn	(1)	-14%	20	Santa Cruz	2	9%	20	Siskiyou	1	25%	20	Humboldt	1	9%
21	Glenn	-	0%	21	Nevada	(1)	-25%	21	Nevada	1	50%	21	Sutter	1	8%	21	Placer	1	9%
22	Lake	-	0%	22	Trinity	(1)	-33%	22	Placer	1	9%	22	Tuolumne	1	50%	22	Santa Cruz	1	4%
23	Mariposa	-	0%	23	Marin	(2)	-40%	23	Siskiyou	1	25%	23	Alpine	-		23	Sierra	1	
24	Mono	-	0%	24	Colusa	(3)	-75%	24	Tuolumne	1	50%	24	Amador	-	0%	24	Alpine	-	
25	Tuolumne	-	0%	25	Ventura	(4)	-6%	25	Alpine	-		25	Humboldt	-	0%	25	Colusa	-	0%
26	Alpine	(1)	-100%	26	Mariposa	(5)	-83%	26	Inyo	-		26	Imperial	-	0%	26	Del Norte	-	0%
27	Inyo	(1)	-100%	27	Yuba	(5)	-29%	27	Marin	-	0%	27	Mariposa	-	0%	27	Inyo	-	
28	Modoc	(1)	-50%	28	Lassen	(6)	-86%	28	Modoc	-	0%	28	Mono	-	0%	28	Kern	-	0%
29	Sutter	(1)	-7%	29	Shasta	(7)	-33%	29	Mono	-	0%	29	Tehama	-	0%	29	Marin	-	0%
30	Lassen	(2)	-67%	30	Siskiyou	(7)	-58%	30	Mendocino	(1)	-3%	30	Glenn	(1)	-14%	30	Mariposa	-	0%
31	Merced	(2)	-11%	31	Madera	(8)	-53%	31	Trinity	(1)	-33%	31	Inyo	(1)	-100%	31	Napa	-	0%
32	Siskiyou	(5)	-50%	32	San Benito	(8)	-100%	32	Alameda	(2)	-1%	32	Kings	(1)	-6%	32	Siskiyou	-	0%

Prepared by: California Alliance of Child and Family Services

Children in Child Welfare Supervised Foster Care Placed in Group Homes: 1998 - 2016

Counties sorted in descending order by change in caseload

Caseload Change since January 1, 1998				Caseload Change in the 10-year period since April 1, 2006				Caseload Change in the 5-year period since April 1, 2011				Caseload Change in the 2-year period since April 1, 2014				Caseload Change in the 1-year period since April 1, 2015			
	Counties sorted in descending order	Caseload	Percent		Counties sorted in descending order	Caseload	Percent		Counties sorted in descending order	Caseload	Percent		Counties sorted in descending order	Caseload	Percent		Counties sorted in descending order	Caseload	Percent
	California - Statewide	(2,998)	-45%		California - Statewide	(2,543)	-41%		California - Statewide	(246)	-6%		California - Statewide	(73)	-2%		California - Statewide	(42)	-1%
33	San Luis Obispo	(6)	-21%	33	El Dorado	(9)	-33%	33	Colusa	(2)	-67%	33	Madera	(1)	-13%	33	Lassen	(1)	-50%
34	Humboldt	(7)	-37%	34	Lake	(9)	-39%	34	Lake	(2)	-13%	34	Napa	(1)	-50%	34	Modoc	(1)	-50%
35	San Benito	(7)	-100%	35	Placer	(10)	-45%	35	Sierra	(2)	-67%	35	San Benito	(1)	-100%	35	Mono	(1)	-50%
36	San Mateo	(7)	-26%	36	San Bernardino	(10)	-3%	36	Yuba	(2)	-14%	36	San Luis Obispo	(1)	-4%	36	San Benito	(1)	####
37	Nevada	(9)	-75%	37	Santa Cruz	(11)	-31%	37	San Benito	(3)	-100%	37	Santa Cruz	(1)	-4%	37	San Mateo	(1)	-5%
38	Napa	(10)	-91%	38	Imperial	(13)	-25%	38	San Luis Obispo	(3)	-12%	38	Tulare	(1)	-3%	38	Tehama	(1)	-14%
39	Tulare	(10)	-24%	39	Napa	(13)	-93%	39	Mariposa	(4)	-80%	39	Nevada	(2)	-40%	39	Glenn	(2)	-25%
40	Monterey	(11)	-24%	40	Merced	(18)	-53%	40	Madera	(6)	-46%	40	Placer	(2)	-14%	40	Imperial	(3)	-7%
41	Yuba	(11)	-48%	41	Santa Barbara	(18)	-35%	41	Shasta	(6)	-30%	41	Trinity	(3)	-60%	41	Kings	(3)	-17%
42	Kern	(13)	-16%	42	Mendocino	(19)	-40%	42	El Dorado	(7)	-28%	42	Merced	(4)	-20%	42	Nevada	(3)	-50%
43	Marin	(18)	-86%	43	San Mateo	(20)	-50%	43	Monterey	(9)	-20%	43	Yuba	(5)	-29%	43	Calaveras	(4)	-29%
44	Shasta	(20)	-59%	44	Monterey	(21)	-38%	44	Napa	(10)	-91%	44	Lassen	(6)	-86%	44	Contra Costa	(4)	-4%
45	Sonoma	(20)	-40%	45	Tulare	(32)	-50%	45	Lassen	(11)	-92%	45	Orange	(6)	-3%	45	Madera	(4)	-36%
46	Yolo	(22)	-63%	46	Yolo	(35)	-73%	46	Merced	(12)	-43%	46	Yolo	(7)	-35%	46	San Diego	(4)	-2%
47	Placer	(23)	-66%	47	Sonoma	(56)	-65%	47	San Mateo	(12)	-38%	47	Riverside	(11)	-5%	47	San Luis Obispo	(4)	-15%
48	Ventura	(29)	-30%	48	Santa Clara	(71)	-38%	48	Santa Barbara	(16)	-33%	48	San Francisco	(11)	-11%	48	Trinity	(4)	-67%
49	Riverside	(70)	-24%	49	San Francisco	(99)	-54%	49	Yolo	(16)	-55%	49	Santa Barbara	(11)	-25%	49	Merced	(6)	-27%
50	Sacramento	(82)	-24%	50	Fresno	(104)	-65%	50	Santa Clara	(23)	-16%	50	San Mateo	(12)	-38%	50	Monterey	(7)	-17%
51	Santa Clara	(91)	-44%	51	Sacramento	(112)	-31%	51	Kern	(26)	-28%	51	El Dorado	(13)	-42%	51	Yuba	(7)	-37%
52	San Francisco	(94)	-53%	52	Riverside	(119)	-35%	52	San Francisco	(29)	-25%	52	Shasta	(13)	-48%	52	El Dorado	(11)	-38%
53	Fresno	(97)	-63%	53	Contra Costa	(144)	-60%	53	Ventura	(31)	-31%	53	Santa Clara	(14)	-11%	53	Santa Barbara	(11)	-25%
54	Contra Costa	(112)	-54%	54	Kern	(144)	-69%	54	Contra Costa	(32)	-25%	54	Contra Costa	(20)	-17%	54	Santa Clara	(21)	-15%
55	San Diego	(199)	-45%	55	Orange	(212)	-53%	55	Fresno	(43)	-43%	55	Fresno	(23)	-29%	55	Riverside	(22)	-9%
56	Alameda	(377)	-74%	56	Alameda	(221)	-63%	56	Orange	(46)	-19%	56	Ventura	(32)	-32%	56	Ventura	(22)	-24%
57	Orange	(447)	-70%	57	San Diego	(454)	-65%	57	Sonoma	(55)	-65%	57	Sonoma	(39)	-57%	57	Sonoma	(31)	-51%
58	Los Angeles	(1,463)	-59%	58	Los Angeles	(613)	-38%	58	San Diego	(196)	-44%	58	San Diego	(45)	-15%	58	Fresno	(37)	-39%
	Missing	(4)	-80%		Missing	(10)	-91%		Missing	(6)	-86%		Missing	-	0%		Missing	-	0%
	Rest-of-State without Los Angeles	(1,535)	-37%		Rest-of-State without Los Angeles	(1,930)	-42%		Rest-of-State without Los Angeles	(252)	-9%		Rest-of-State without Los Angeles	(83)	-3%		Rest-of-State without Los Angeles	(53)	-2%

Child Welfare Group Home caseload on April 1, 2016

	Counties sorted in descending order by caseload on April 1, 2016	Caseload	Percentage of Statewide Total Caseload
	California - Statewide	3,680	100%
1	Los Angeles	1,018	27.7%
2	San Bernardino	377	10.2%
3	Sacramento	254	6.9%
4	San Diego	248	6.7%
5	Riverside	225	6.1%
6	Orange	190	5.2%
7	San Joaquin	160	4.3%
8	Alameda	132	3.6%
9	Santa Clara	117	3.2%
10	Contra Costa	95	2.6%
11	San Francisco	85	2.3%
12	Ventura	68	1.8%
13	Kern	66	1.8%
14	Fresno	57	1.5%
15	Solano	47	1.3%
16	Imperial	40	1.1%
17	Stanislaus	37	1.0%
18	Monterey	35	1.0%
19	Santa Barbara	33	0.9%
20	Tulare	32	0.9%
21	Butte	30	0.8%
22	Sonoma	30	0.8%
23	Mendocino	29	0.8%
24	Santa Cruz	25	0.7%
25	San Luis Obispo	23	0.6%
26	San Mateo	20	0.5%
27	El Dorado	18	0.5%
28	Merced	16	0.4%
29	Kings	15	0.4%
30	Lake	14	0.4%
31	Shasta	14	0.4%
32	Sutter	14	0.4%

Prevalence of Child Welfare Group Home Placements per 10,000 Children in the General County Population

	Counties sorted in descending order by Prevalence on April 1, 2016	Child Population (0 - 20) in 2015	Prevalence of Child Welfare Group Home Placements on April 1, 2016
	California - Statewide	10,767,472	3.4
1	Sierra	573	17.5
2	Plumas	3,768	13.3
3	Mendocino	21,965	13.2
4	Calaveras	9,577	10.4
5	Lake	15,652	8.9
6	Del Norte	6,815	7.3
7	Trinity	2,768	7.2
8	San Joaquin	231,286	6.9
9	Glenn	8,927	6.7
10	Imperial	60,777	6.6
11	Sacramento	419,455	6.1
12	San Francisco	140,447	6.1
13	Amador	6,840	5.8
14	San Bernardino	667,120	5.7
15	Butte	58,519	5.1
16	Yuba	24,761	4.8
17	Sutter	29,475	4.7
18	Siskiyou	10,588	4.7
19	Modoc	2,122	4.7
20	El Dorado	44,530	4.0
21	Solano	116,573	4.0
22	Los Angeles	2,745,350	3.7
23	Humboldt	32,568	3.7
24	San Luis Obispo	65,907	3.5
25	Santa Cruz	71,794	3.5
26	Tehama	18,082	3.3
27	Alameda	401,954	3.3
28	Kings	46,956	3.2
29	Riverside	706,835	3.2
30	Contra Costa	300,476	3.2
31	Shasta	45,233	3.1
32	Mono	3,433	2.9

Child Welfare Group Home caseload on April 1, 2016

	Counties sorted in descending order by caseload on April 1, 2016	Caseload	Percentage of Statewide Total Caseload
	California - Statewide	3,680	100%
33	Yolo	13	0.4%
34	Humboldt	12	0.3%
35	Placer	12	0.3%
36	Yuba	12	0.3%
37	Calaveras	10	0.3%
38	Madera	7	0.2%
39	Glenn	6	0.2%
40	Tehama	6	0.2%
41	Del Norte	5	0.1%
42	Plumas	5	0.1%
43	Siskiyou	5	0.1%
44	Amador	4	0.1%
45	Marin	3	0.1%
46	Nevada	3	0.1%
47	Tuolumne	3	0.1%
48	Trinity	2	0.1%
49	Colusa	1	0.0%
50	Lassen	1	0.0%
51	Mariposa	1	0.0%
52	Modoc	1	0.0%
53	Mono	1	0.0%
54	Napa	1	0.0%
55	Sierra	1	0.0%
56	Alpine	0	0.0%
57	Inyo	0	0.0%
58	San Benito	0	0.0%
	Missing	1	0.0%
	Rest-of-State without Los Angeles	2,662	72.3%

Prevalence of Child Welfare Group Home Placements per 10,000 Children in the General County Population

	Counties sorted in descending order by Prevalence on April 1, 2016	Child Population (0 - 20) in 2015	Prevalence of Child Welfare Group Home Placements on April 1, 2016
	California - Statewide	10,767,472	3.4
33	Mariposa	3,491	2.9
34	Ventura	237,831	2.9
35	San Diego	870,119	2.9
36	Tuolumne	10,753	2.8
37	Monterey	126,706	2.8
38	Santa Barbara	126,158	2.6
39	Sonoma	122,110	2.5
40	Santa Clara	505,587	2.3
41	Orange	841,170	2.3
42	Kern	297,421	2.2
43	Stanislaus	168,726	2.2
44	Yolo	60,998	2.1
45	Tulare	166,281	1.9
46	Fresno	325,807	1.7
47	Merced	93,157	1.7
48	Nevada	20,483	1.5
49	Lassen	6,923	1.4
50	Madera	49,442	1.4
51	Colusa	7,244	1.4
52	Placer	98,848	1.2
53	San Mateo	188,506	1.1
54	Marin	59,680	0.5
55	Napa	35,808	0.3
56	Alpine	287	-
57	Inyo	4,436	-
58	San Benito	18,374	-
	Missing	0	-
	Rest-of-State without Los Angeles	8,022,122	3.3

Prepared by: California Alliance of Child and Family Services

Foster Children Placed in Group Homes on April 1, 2016

Ages: 0 to 21 Years

Counties	Total Number of Children (0 - 20) in 2015	CHILD WELFARE Supervised					PROBATION Supervised					COMBINED CWS and Probation Supervised Foster Care Placements			
		CWS Group Home Placements				Total CWS Foster Care Place-ments	Probation Group Home Placements				Total Probation Foster Care Place-ments	Total Group Home Placements			Total Foster Care Place-ments
		Number	Preva-lence per 10,000 Children in the General Population	As a Percentage of Total County Group Home Place-ments	As a Percentage of Total County CWS Place-ments		Number	Preva-lence per 10,000 Children in the General Population	As a Percentage of Total County Group Home Place-ments	As a Percentage of Total County Probation Place-ments		Number	Preva-lence per 10,000 Children in the General Population	As a Percentage of Total County Foster Care Place-ments	
California	10,767,472	3,344	3.11	63.8%	5.4%	61,828	1,901	1.77	36.2%	51.2%	3,716	5,245	4.87	8.0%	65,544
Alameda	401,954	116	2.89	58.0%	7.1%	1,645	84	2.09	42.0%	32.6%	258	200	4.98	10.5%	1,903
Alpine	287														
Amador	6,840	4	5.85		5.3%	75	1	1.46	20.0%	50.0%	2	5	7.31	6.5%	77
Butte	58,519	30	5.13	90.9%	5.0%	599	3	0.51	9.1%	75.0%	4	33	5.64	5.5%	603
Calaveras	9,577	10	10.44	83.3%	8.8%	114	2	2.09	16.7%	100.0%	2	12	12.53	10.3%	116
Colusa	7,244	1	1.38	25.0%	5.0%	20	3	4.14	75%		4	4	5.52	16.7%	24
Contra Costa	300,476	88	2.93	55.7%	7.5%	1,178	70	2.33	44.3%	48.3%	145	158	5.26	11.9%	1,323
Del Norte	6,815	5	7.34	45.5%	5.0%	101	6	8.80	54.5%	42.9%	14	11	16.14	9.6%	115
El Dorado	44,530	16	3.59	66.7%	5.2%	309	8	1.80	33.3%	61.5%	13	24	5.39	7.5%	322
Fresno	325,807	52	1.60	66.7%	2.5%	2,111	26	0.80	33.3%	35.1%	74	78	2.39	3.6%	2,185
Glenn	8,927	6	6.72	85.7%	7.3%	82	1				5	7	7.84	8.0%	87
Humboldt	32,568	9	2.76	100.0%	2.2%	401		0.00	0.0%	0.0%	20	9	2.76	2.1%	421
Imperial	60,777	39	6.42	76.5%	9.8%	398	12	1.97	23.5%	28.6%	42	51	8.39	11.6%	440
Inyo	4,436					16		0.00	#DIV/0!	0.0%	8	0	0.00	0.0%	24
Kern	297,421	62	2.08	36.0%	3.3%	1,890	110	3.70	64.0%	47.2%	233	172	5.78	8.1%	2,123
Kings	46,956	15	3.19	65.2%	3.1%	488	8	1.70	34.8%	50.0%	16	23	4.90	4.6%	504
Lake	15,652	14	8.94	56.0%	9.2%	153	11	7.03	44.0%	57.9%	19	25	15.97	14.5%	172
Lassen	6,923		0.00	0.0%	0.0%	51	5	7.22	100.0%	22.7%	22	5	7.22	6.8%	73
Los Angeles	2,745,350	887	3.23	57.2%	4.3%	20,754	664	2.42	42.8%	56.3%	1,179	1,551	5.65	7.1%	21,933
Madera	49,442	7	1.42	100.0%	2.1%	329		0.00	0.0%	0.0%	1	7	1.42	2.1%	330
Marin	59,680	3	0.50	23.1%	4.2%	71	10	1.68	76.9%	45.5%	22	13	2.18	14.0%	93
Mariposa	3,491	1	2.86	100.0%	3.2%	31		0.00	0.0%		1	1	2.86	3.1%	32
Mendocino	21,965	29	13.20	80.6%	9.8%	295	7	3.19	19.4%	70.0%	10	36	16.39	11.8%	305
Merced	93,157	15	1.61	32.6%	2.6%	583	31	3.33	67.4%	68.9%	45	46	4.94	7.3%	628
Modoc	2,122	1	4.71	16.7%	6.7%	15	5				5	6	28.28	30.0%	20
Mono	3,433		0.00	#DIV/0!	0%	4						0	0.00	0.0%	4
Monterey	126,706	34	2.68	47.9%	8.7%	391	37	2.92	52.1%	64.9%	57	71	5.60	15.8%	448
Napa	35,808	1	0.28	7.1%	0.8%	131	13	3.63	92.9%	52.0%	25	14	3.91	9.0%	156
Nevada	20,483	3	1.46	60.0%	4.5%	67	2	0.98	40.0%	40.0%	5	5	2.44	6.9%	72
Orange	841,170	184	2.19	84.8%	8.3%	2,209	33	0.39	15.2%	33.0%	100	217	2.58	9.4%	2,309

Foster Children Placed in Group Homes on April 1, 2016

Ages: 0 to 21 Years

Counties	Total Number of Children (0 - 20) in 2015	CHILD WELFARE Supervised					PROBATION Supervised					COMBINED CWS and Probation Supervised Foster Care Placements			
		CWS Group Home Placements				Total CWS Foster Care Place-ments	Probation Group Home Placements				Total Probation Foster Care Place-ments	Total Group Home Placements			Total Foster Care Place-ments
		Number	Preva-lence per 10,000 Children in the General Population	As a Percentage of Total County Group Home Place-ments	As a Percentage of Total County CWS Place-ments		Number	Preva-lence per 10,000 Children in the General Population	As a Percentage of Total County Group Home Place-ments	As a Percentage of Total County Probation Place-ments		Number	Preva-lence per 10,000 Children in the General Population	As a Percentage of Total County Foster Care Place-ments	
Placer	98,848	12	1.21	54.5%	3.9%	308	10	1.01	45.5%	76.9%	13	22	2.23	6.9%	321
Plumas	3,768	5	13.27	62.5%	8.9%	56	3	7.96	37.5%	37.5%	8	8	21.23	12.5%	64
Riverside	706,835	198	2.80	63.3%	4.6%	4,296	115	1.63	36.7%	78.2%	147	313	4.43	7.0%	4,443
Sacramento	419,455	234	5.58	71.8%	8.1%	2,872	92	2.19	28.2%	45.3%	203	326	7.77	10.6%	3,075
San Benito	18,374		0.00	#DIV/0!	0.0%	51		0.00	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		0	0.00	0.0%	51
San Bernardino	667,120	350	5.25	78.0%	6.2%	5,645	99	1.48	22.0%	65.6%	151	449	6.73	7.7%	5,796
San Diego	870,119	218	2.51	69.2%	6.9%	3,162	97	1.11	30.8%	49.5%	196	315	3.62	9.4%	3,358
San Francisco	140,447	79	5.62	57.7%	8.6%	917	58	4.13	42.3%	43.3%	134	137	9.75	13.0%	1,051
San Joaquin	231,286	150	6.49	78.5%	9.3%	1,619	41	1.77	21.5%	62.1%	66	191	8.26	11.3%	1,685
San Luis Obispo	65,907	23	3.49	67.6%	6.1%	379	11	1.67	32.4%	39.3%	28	34	5.16	8.4%	407
San Mateo	188,506	18	0.95	64.3%	5.3%	337	10	0.53	35.7%	47.6%	21	28	1.49	7.8%	358
Santa Barbara	126,158	30	2.38	44.8%	6.2%	484	37	2.93	55.2%	61.7%	60	67	5.31	12.3%	544
Santa Clara	505,587	110	2.18	89.4%	8.5%	1,288	13	0.26	10.6%	32.5%	40	123	2.43	9.3%	1,328
Santa Cruz	71,794	23	3.20	57.5%	8.4%	275	17	2.37	42.5%	68.0%	25	40	5.57	13.3%	300
Shasta	45,233	14	3.10	50.0%	2.5%	556	14	3.10	50.0%	50.0%	28	28	6.19	4.8%	584
Sierra	573	1	17.45	100%	33.3%	3						1	17.45	33.3%	3
Siskiyou	10,588	5	4.72	100.0%	4.0%	124		0.00	0.0%	0.0%	8	5	4.72	3.8%	132
Solano	116,573	40	3.43	75.5%	7.7%	519	13	1.12	24.5%	33.3%	39	53	4.55	9.5%	558
Sonoma	122,110	29	2.37	51.8%	5.8%	502	27	2.21	48.2%	58.7%	46	56	4.59	10.2%	548
Stanislaus	168,726	34	2.02	52.3%	4.2%	802	31	1.84	47.7%	67.4%	46	65	3.85	7.7%	848
Sutter	29,475	13	4.41	92.9%	8.3%	156	1	0.34	7.1%	100.0%	1	14	4.75	8.9%	157
Tehama	18,082	6	3.32	85.7%	2.5%	242	1	0.55	14.3%	7.7%	13	7	3.87	2.7%	255
Trinity	2,768	2	7.23	50.0%	3.5%	57	2				2	4	14.45	6.8%	59
Tulare	166,281	31	1.86	68.9%	2.9%	1,083	14	0.84	31.1%	60.9%	23	45	2.71	4.1%	1,106
Tuolumne	10,753	3	2.79	42.9%	2.8%	108	4	3.72	57.1%	66.7%	6	7	6.51	6.1%	114
Ventura	237,831	60	2.52	70.6%	6.5%	920	25	1.05	29.4%	54.3%	46	85	3.57	8.8%	966
Yolo	60,998	13	2.13	68.4%	4.3%	302	6	0.98	31.6%	37.5%	16	19	3.11	6.0%	318
Yuba	24,761	10	4.04	62.5%	5.1%	198	6	2.42	37.5%	54.5%	11	16	6.46	7.7%	209
Missing		1		33.3%	1.8%	56	2		66.7%	25.0%	8	3		4.7%	64

University of California at Berkeley, Center for Social Services Research. Data Source: CWS/CMS 2016 Quarter 1 Extract; Program version: 1.35 Database version: 6A04E798.

Webster, D., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Dawson, W., Magruder, J., Exel, M., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Putnam-Hornstein, E., Wiegmann, W., Rezvani, G., Wagstaff, K., Sandoval, A., Yee, H., Xiong, B., Benton, C., Tobler, A., White, J., & Kai, C. (2016). CCWIP reports. Retrieved 8/15/2016, from University of California at Berkeley California Child Welfare Indicators Project website. URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/ucb_childwelfare>

Prepared by: California Alliance of Child and Family Services

CHILD WELFARE Supervised Placements in GROUP HOMES on April 1, 2016: Percentage of Total Child Welfare Supervised Foster Children Placed in Group Homes



S:\DOUG\COSTSTDY\Probation Group Homes 1998 to April 2016 8-01-16 Chart CWSGH Percent29 April2016

This chart includes the 29 Counties with the largest number of children in their general County population.

Prepared by: California Alliance of Child and Family Services

Prevalence of Foster Children Placed in Group Homes on April 1, 2016

Minor Children and Non-Minor Dependents: 0 to 21 Years Old

PREVALENCE: Number of Group Home Placements per 10,000 Children in the General County Population

29 Counties with the Largest Number of Children in the General County Population, Sorted in Descending Order by Prevalence of Group Home Placements

CHILD WELFARE Supervised Placements				PROBATION Supervised Placements				TOTAL Group Home Placements			
	Counties	Number of Placements	PREVALENCE		Counties	Number of Placements	PREVALENCE		Counties	Number of Placements	PREVALENCE
	California	3,344	3.11		California	1,901	1.77		California	5,245	4.87
1	San Joaquin	150	6.49	1	San Francisco	58	4.13	1	San Francisco	137	9.75
2	Imperial	39	6.42	2	Kern	110	3.70	2	Imperial	51	8.39
3	San Francisco	79	5.62	3	Merced	31	3.33	3	San Joaquin	191	8.26
4	Sacramento	234	5.58	4	Santa Barbara	37	2.93	4	Sacramento	326	7.77
5	San Bernardino	350	5.25	5	Monterey	37	2.92	5	San Bernardino	449	6.73
6	Butte	30	5.13	6	Los Angeles	664	2.42	6	Kern	172	5.78
7	San Luis Obispo	23	3.49	7	Santa Cruz	17	2.37	7	Los Angeles	1,551	5.65
8	Solano	40	3.43	8	Contra Costa	70	2.33	8	Butte	33	5.64
9	Los Angeles	887	3.23	9	Sonoma	27	2.21	9	Monterey	71	5.60
10	Santa Cruz	23	3.20	10	Sacramento	92	2.19	10	Santa Cruz	40	5.57
11	Contra Costa	88	2.93	11	Alameda	84	2.09	11	Santa Barbara	67	5.31
12	Alameda	116	2.89	12	Imperial	12	1.97	12	Contra Costa	158	5.26
13	Riverside	198	2.80	13	Stanislaus	31	1.84	13	San Luis Obispo	34	5.16
14	Monterey	34	2.68	14	San Joaquin	41	1.77	14	Alameda	200	4.98
15	Ventura	60	2.52	15	Marin	10	1.68	15	Merced	46	4.94
16	San Diego	218	2.51	16	San Luis Obispo	11	1.67	16	Sonoma	56	4.59
17	Santa Barbara	30	2.38	17	Riverside	115	1.63	17	Solano	53	4.55
18	Sonoma	29	2.37	18	San Bernardino	99	1.48	18	Riverside	313	4.43
19	Orange	184	2.19	19	Solano	13	1.12	19	Stanislaus	65	3.85
20	Santa Clara	110	2.18	20	San Diego	97	1.11	20	San Diego	315	3.62
21	Yolo	13	2.13	21	Ventura	25	1.05	21	Ventura	85	3.57
22	Kern	62	2.08	22	Placer	10	1.01	22	Yolo	19	3.11
23	Stanislaus	34	2.02	23	Yolo	6	0.98	23	Tulare	45	2.71
24	Tulare	31	1.86	24	Tulare	14	0.84	24	Orange	217	2.58
25	Merced	15	1.61	25	Fresno	26	0.80	25	Santa Clara	123	2.43
26	Fresno	52	1.60	26	San Mateo	10	0.53	26	Fresno	78	2.39
27	Placer	12	1.21	27	Butte	3	0.51	27	Placer	22	2.23
28	San Mateo	18	0.95	28	Orange	33	0.39	28	Marin	13	2.18
29	Marin	3	0.50	29	Santa Clara	13	0.26	29	San Mateo	28	1.49
	Largest 29 Counties	3,162	3.08		Largest 29 Counties	1,796	1.75		Largest 29 Counties	4,958	4.83
	Other 29 Counties	182	3.68		Other 29 Counties	105	2.09		Other 29 Counties	287	5.77

University of California at Berkeley, Center for Social Services Research. Data Source: CWS/CMS 2016 Quarter 1 Extract; Program version: 1.35 Database version: 6A04E798.

Webster, D., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Dawson, W., Magruder, J., Exel, M., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Putnam-Hornstein, E., Wiegmann, W., Rezvani, G., Wagstaff, K., Sandoval, A., Yee, H., Xiong, B., Benton, C., Tobler, A., White, J., & Kai, C. (2016). CCWIP reports. Retrieved 8/15/2016, from University of California at Berkeley California Child Welfare Indicators Project website. URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/ucb_childwelfare>

S:\DOUG\COSTSTDY\Probation Group Homes 1998 to April 2016 8-01-16CWSProbGH Prev April 2016 Sort

Prepared by: California Alliance of Child and Family Services

CHILD WELFARE Supervised Placements in GROUP HOMES on April 1, 2016: Prevalence of Group Home Placements per 10,000 Children in the County



S:\DOUG\COSTSTDY\Probation Group Homes 1998 to April 2016 8-01-16 Chart CWSGH Prevalence29Apr2016

This chart includes the 29 Counties with the largest number of children in their general County population.


Child Welfare GROUP HOME Placements in California Since 2010
[Impact of AB 12 Extending Foster Care Up to 21 Years of Age on January 1, 2012]
Group Home Placements of CWS and Probation Foster Children
4,500
4,000
3,500
3,000
2,500
2,000
1,500
1,000
500
0
3,851 3,828 3,813 3,736 3,795 3,926 3,804 3,689 3,683 3,731 3,699 3,727 3,694 3,723 3,728 3,700 3,712 3,753 3,783 3,753 3,720 3,722 3,655 3,661 3,568 3,680
3,579 3,548 3,570 3,495 3,514 3,650 3,550 3,461 3,393 3,418 3,392 3,446 3,369 3,373 3,414 3,408 3,391 3,419 3,456 3,424 3,391 3,418 3,361 3,393 3,265 3,344
272 280 243 241 281 276 254 228 290 313 307 281 325 350 314 292 321 334 327 329 329 304 294 268 303 336
January April July October January April July October January April July October January April July October January April July October January April July October January April July October
2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016
Child Welfare: 0 to 21 years old
Child Welfare: 0 to 17 years old
Child Welfare: 18 to 21 years old

Prepared by: California Alliance of Child and Family Services

Group Home Placements by California Counties: 1998 - 2016

In-County and Out-of-State Placements

		CHILD WELFARE-Supervised							PROBATION-Supervised						
		Total CWS Group Home Placements	In-County Place-ments	% Placed In-County	In-State Out-of-County Place-ments	% Placed In-State Out-of-County	Out-of-State Place-ments	% Placed Out-of-State	Total Probation Group Home Placements	In-County Place-ments	% Placed In-County	In-State Out-of-County Place-ments	% Placed In-State Out-of-County	Out-of-State Place-ments	% Placed Out-of-State
July	1998	6,553	4,843	73.9%	1,710	26.1%	0	0.0%	4,006	1,683	42.0%	2,318	57.9%	5	0.1%
January	1999	6,502	4,740	72.9%	1,762	27.1%	0	0.0%	4,033	1,690	41.9%	2,341	58.1%	2	0.0%
July	1999	6,474	4,765	73.6%	1,709	26.4%	0	0.0%	4,173	1,719	41.2%	2,453	58.8%	1	0.0%
January	2000	6,373	4,652	73.0%	1,721	27.0%	0	0.0%	4,102	1,743	42.5%	2,359	57.5%	0	0.0%
July	2000	6,519	4,700	72.1%	1,815	27.8%	4	0.1%	3,966	1,674	42.2%	2,289	57.7%	3	0.1%
January	2001	6,406	4,606	71.9%	1,795	28.0%	5	0.1%	4,062	1,808	44.5%	2,250	55.4%	4	0.1%
July	2001	6,476	4,611	71.2%	1,862	28.8%	3	0.0%	4,185	1,871	44.7%	2,311	55.2%	3	0.1%
January	2002	6,695	4,767	71.2%	1,922	28.7%	6	0.1%	4,038	1,736	43.0%	2,298	56.9%	4	0.1%
July	2002	6,828	4,848	71.0%	1,972	28.9%	8	0.1%	4,055	1,642	40.5%	2,410	59.4%	3	0.1%
January	2003	6,912	4,908	71.0%	1,995	28.9%	9	0.1%	4,248	1,805	42.5%	2,437	57.4%	6	0.1%
July	2003	6,963	5,020	72.1%	1,929	27.7%	14	0.2%	4,248	1,835	43.2%	2,406	56.6%	7	0.2%
January	2004	6,926	5,001	72.2%	1,907	27.5%	18	0.3%	4,157	1,771	42.6%	2,375	57.1%	11	0.3%
July	2004	6,876	4,964	72.2%	1,900	27.6%	12	0.2%	4,028	1,792	44.5%	2,213	54.9%	23	0.6%
January	2005	6,653	4,817	72.4%	1,823	27.4%	13	0.2%	3,859	1,733	44.9%	2,102	54.5%	24	0.6%
July	2005	6,566	4,728	72.0%	1,829	27.9%	9	0.1%	3,726	1,662	44.6%	2,039	54.7%	25	0.7%
January	2006	6,314	4,470	70.8%	1,834	29.0%	10	0.2%	3,765	1,672	44.4%	2,061	54.8%	32	0.8%
July	2006	6,028	4,183	69.4%	1,832	30.4%	13	0.2%	3,655	1,634	44.7%	1,995	54.6%	26	0.7%
January	2007	5,806	4,024	69.3%	1,765	30.4%	17	0.3%	3,437	1,509	43.9%	1,903	55.4%	25	0.7%
July	2007	5,584	3,786	67.8%	1,772	31.7%	26	0.5%	3,252	1,356	41.7%	1,881	57.8%	15	0.5%
January	2008	5,267	3,608	68.5%	1,635	31.0%	24	0.5%	3,049	1,281	42.0%	1,732	56.8%	36	1.2%
July	2008	4,818	3,344	69.4%	1,459	30.3%	15	0.3%	2,931	1,187	40.5%	1,672	57.0%	72	2.5%
January	2009	4,442	3,069	69.1%	1,352	30.4%	21	0.5%	2,894	1,195	41.3%	1,586	54.8%	113	3.9%
July	2009	4,105	2,832	69.0%	1,244	30.3%	29	0.7%	2,926	1,252	42.8%	1,527	52.2%	147	5.0%
January	2010	3,852	2,635	68.4%	1,192	31.0%	25	0.6%	2,876	1,173	40.8%	1,530	53.2%	173	6.0%
July	2010	3,814	2,574	67.5%	1,204	31.6%	36	0.9%	2,668	1,054	39.5%	1,405	52.7%	209	7.8%
January	2011	3,794	2,618	69.0%	1,141	30.1%	35	0.9%	2,546	950	37.3%	1,395	54.8%	201	7.9%
July	2011	3,801	2,619	68.9%	1,143	30.1%	39	1.0%	2,528	956	37.8%	1,375	54.4%	197	7.8%
January	2012	3,681	2,499	67.9%	1,151	31.3%	31	0.8%	2,491	897	36.0%	1,365	54.8%	229	9.2%
July	2012	3,700	2,498	67.5%	1,160	31.3%	43	1.2%	2,529	913	36.1%	1,394	55.1%	222	8.8%
January	2013	3,696	2,491	67.4%	1,163	31.5%	42	1.1%	2,421	874	36.1%	1,332	55.0%	215	8.9%
July	2013	3,732	2,512	67.3%	1,174	31.5%	46	1.2%	2,427	915	37.7%	1,280	52.7%	232	9.6%
January	2014	3,716	2,471	66.5%	1,191	32.0%	54	1.5%	2,264	824	36.4%	1,206	53.3%	234	10.3%
July	2014	3,798	2,454	64.6%	1,288	33.9%	56	1.5%	2,121	768	36.2%	1,131	53.3%	222	10.5%
January	2015	3,744	2,400	64.1%	1,295	34.6%	49	1.3%	2,079	753	36.2%	1,094	52.6%	232	11.2%
July	2015	3,677	2,298	62.5%	1,327	36.1%	52	1.4%	2,032	720	35.4%	1,061	52.2%	251	12.4%
January	2016	3,617	2,210	61.1%	1,340	37.0%	67	1.9%	1,920	622	32.4%	1,046	54.5%	252	13.1%

S:\DOUG\COSTSTDY\Probation Out-of-State Group Homes 2-12-16 Prob CWS GHs98to2016OutofCounty

Prepared by: California Alliance of Child and Family Services

Group Home Placements in California Since 1998 [Child Welfare Supervised Cases: 0 to 21 years old]



S:\DOUG\COSTSTDY\CWS Group Homes 1998 to April 2016 8-05-16 Chart CWSGHs 98-16 StateLA

8/31/2016

Prepared by: California Alliance of Child and Family Services

Group Home Placements in Los Angeles County Since 1998 [Child Welfare Supervised Cases]



S:\DOUG\COSTSTDY\CWS Group Homes 1998 to April 2016 8-05-16 Chart CWSGHs 98-16 LA Only

8/31/2016

Prepared by: California Alliance of Child and Family Services



S:\DOUG\COSTSTDY\CWS Group Homes 1998 to April 2016 8-05-16 Chart CWSGHs98-16SanDiegoOrange

8/31/2016

Prepared by: California Alliance of Child and Family Services

Group Home Placements in Riverside and San Bernardino Counties Since July 1998 [Child Welfare Supervised Cases]



S:\DOUG\COSTSTDY\CWS Group Homes 1998 to April 2016 8-05-16 Chart CWS GHs98-16RiversideSanB

8/31/2016

Prepared by: California Alliance of Child and Family Services

Group Home Placements in Three Medium-Size Counties in Southern California Since 1998 [Child Welfare Supervised Cases]



S:\DOUG\COSTSTDY\CWS Group Homes 1998 to April 2016 8-05-16 Chart CWS GHs 98-16 MediumSouth

8/31/2016

Prepared by: California Alliance of Child and Family Services

Group Home Placements in Monterey Bay Area Counties Since 1998 [Child Welfare Supervised Cases]



S:\DOUG\COSTSTDY\CWS Group Homes 1998 to April 2016 8-05-16 ChartCWSGHs98-16MontSanCruzSLO

8/31/2016

Prepared by: California Alliance of Child and Family Services

Group Home Placements in Three Central Valley Counties Since 1998 [Child Welfare Supervised Cases]



S:\DOUG\COSTSTDY\CWS Group Homes 1998 to April 2016 8-05-16 Chart CWS GHs 98-16 LargeValley

8/31/2016

Prepared by: California Alliance of Child and Family Services

Group Home Placements in Three Smaller Central Valley Counties Since 1998 [Child Welfare Supervised Cases]



S:\DOUG\COSTSTDY\CWS Group Homes 1998 to April 2016 8-05-16 Chart CWS GHs 98-16 SmallValley

8/31/2016

Prepared by: California Alliance of Child and Family Services

Group Home Placements in Alameda and Sacramento Counties Since 1998 [Child Welfare Supervised Cases]



S:\DOUG\COSTSTDY\CWS Group Homes 1998 to April 2016 8-05-16 Chart CWS GHs 98-16AlamedaSacto

8/31/2016

Prepared by: California Alliance of Child and Family Services

Group Home Placements in Four Larger Bay Area Counties Since 1998 [Child Welfare Supervised Cases] (excluding Alameda County)



S:\DOUG\COSTSTDY\CWS Group Homes 1998 to April 2016 8-05-16 Chart CWS GHs 98-16 Larger Bay

8/31/2016

Prepared by: California Alliance of Child and Family Services

Group Home Placements in Three Smaller Bay Area Counties Since 1998 [Child Welfare Supervised Cases]



S:\DOUG\COSTSTDY\CWS Group Homes 1998 to April 2016 8-05-16 Chart CWS GHs 98-16 Small Bay

8/31/2016

Prepared by: California Alliance of Child and Family Services



S:\DOUG\COSTSTDY\CWS Group Homes 1998 to April 2016 8-05-16 ChartCWSGHs98-16ElDorado Yolo

8/31/2016

Prepared by: California Alliance of Child and Family Services

Group Home Placements in Butte and Shasta Counties Since 1998 [Child Welfare Supervised Cases]