



# CASELOAD TRENDS in PROBATION Supervised GROUP HOME Placements in All 58 California Counties

## January 1998 – April 2016

- Children in Probation Supervised Group Home Placements since 1998, by Type of Placement and by County
- Number and Prevalence of CWS and Probation Children in Group Home Placements on April 1, 2016, by County
- Change in the Number of Probation Children in Group Home Placements since 2010 who are 0 to 17 Years of Age and 0 to 21 Years of Age
- Number and Percentage of Child Welfare and Probation Children in Group Home Placements located In-County, Out-of-County, and Out-of-State since 1998
- Change in the Number of Probation Group Home Placements since January 1998, by County

The attached tables and charts were prepared by the California Alliance of Child and Family Services using data from California's Child Welfare Services/Case Management System (CWS/CMS) obtained from the University of California at Berkeley, Center for Social Sciences Research website.

## Children in PROBATION Supervised Foster Care by Placement Type: April 1, 2016

### Number of Children in Each Placement Type, Each County's Percentage of the Statewide Total for Each Type of Placement, Percentage in Each Placement Type, and Prevalence per 1,000 Children in the General Population

Data Source: University of California at Berkeley, Center for Social Services Research; CWS/CMS 2016 Quarter 1 Extract; Program version: 1.35 Database version: 6A04E790.

Webster, D., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Dawson, W., Magruder, J., Exel, M., Cuccaro-Alamín, S., Putnam-Hornstein, E., Wiegmann, W., Rezvani, G., Wagstaff, K., Sandoval, A., Yee, H., Xiong, B., Benton, C., Tobler, A., White, J., & Kai, C. (2016). CCWIP reports. Retrieved 8/15/2016, from University of California at Berkeley California Child Welfare Indicators Project website. URL: <[http://csar.berkeley.edu/ccb\\_childwelfare](http://csar.berkeley.edu/ccb_childwelfare)>

County	Child Population (0-20)		All Placement Types					Relative/ Kin					
	in 2015	Percent of State-wide Total	Minor Children: Ages 0 to 17	Non-Minor Dependents: Ages 18 to 21	Total CWS Foster Care Place-memts	Percent of State-wide Total	Preva-lence per 1,000 Chil-dren	Minor Children: Ages 0 to 17	Non-Minor Dependents: Ages 18 to 21	Total CWS Foster Care Place-memts	Percent of State-wide Total	Percent in Each Place-ment Type	Preva-lence per 1,000 Chil-dren
California - Statewide	10,767,472	100%	2,286	1,430	3,716	100%	0.35	88	32	120	100%	3.2%	0.01
Alameda	401,954	3.7%	102	156	258	6.9%	0.64	4	-	4	3.3%	1.6%	0.01
Alpine	287	0.0%	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-
Amador	6,840	0.1%	1	1	2	0.1%	0.29	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Butte	58,519	0.5%	3	1	4	0.1%	0.07	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Calaveras	9,577	0.1%	1	1	2	0.1%	0.21	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Colusa	7,244	0.1%	2	2	4	0.1%	0.55	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Contra Costa	300,476	2.8%	72	73	145	3.9%	0.48	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Del Norte	6,815	0.1%	5	9	14	0.4%	2.05	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
El Dorado	44,530	0.4%	8	5	13	0.3%	0.29	1	-	1	0.8%	7.7%	0.02
Fresno	325,807	3.0%	54	20	74	2.0%	0.23	6	-	6	5.0%	8.1%	0.02
Glenn	8,927	0.1%	2	3	5	0.1%	0.56	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Humboldt	32,568	0.3%	10	10	20	0.5%	0.61	3	-	3	2.5%	15.0%	0.09
Imperial	60,777	0.6%	18	24	42	1.1%	0.69	1	-	1	0.8%	2.4%	0.02
Inyo	4,436	0.0%	1	7	8	0.2%	1.80	1	1	2	1.7%	25.0%	0.45
Kern	297,421	2.8%	141	92	233	6.3%	0.78	9	2	11	9.2%	4.7%	0.04
Kings	46,956	0.4%	10	6	16	0.4%	0.34	1	-	1	0.8%	6.3%	0.02
Lake	15,652	0.1%	11	8	19	0.5%	1.21	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Lassen	6,923	0.1%	12	10	22	0.6%	3.18	2	-	2	1.7%	9.1%	0.29
Los Angeles	2,745,350	25.5%	811	368	1,179	31.7%	0.43	24	14	38	31.7%	3.2%	0.01
Madera	49,442	0.5%	-	1	1	0.0%	0.02	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Marin	59,680	0.6%	13	9	22	0.6%	0.37	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Mariposa	3,491	0.0%	1	-	1	0.0%	0.29	1	-	1	0.8%	100.0%	0.29
Mendocino	21,965	0.2%	9	1	10	0.3%	0.46	1	-	1	0.8%	10.0%	0.05
Merced	93,157	0.9%	33	12	45	1.2%	0.48	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Modoc	2,122	0.0%	5	-	5	0.1%	2.36	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Mono	3,433	0.0%	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-
Monterey	126,706	1.2%	43	14	57	1.5%	0.45	1	-	1	0.8%	1.8%	0.01
Napa	35,808	0.3%	16	9	25	0.7%	0.70	2	-	2	1.7%	8.0%	0.06
Nevada	20,483	0.2%	-	5	5	0.1%	0.24	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Orange	841,170	7.8%	43	57	100	2.7%	0.12	2	-	2	1.7%	2.0%	0.00
Placer	98,848	0.9%	8	5	13	0.3%	0.13	1	-	1	0.8%	7.7%	0.01
Plumas	3,768	0.0%	2	6	8	0.2%	2.12	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Riverside	706,835	6.6%	104	43	147	4.0%	0.21	2	1	3	2.5%	2.0%	0.00
Sacramento	419,455	3.9%	112	91	203	5.5%	0.48	3	-	3	2.5%	1.5%	0.01
San Benito	18,374	0.2%	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-
San Bernardino	667,120	6.2%	120	31	151	4.1%	0.23	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
San Diego	870,119	8.1%	115	81	196	5.3%	0.23	13	11	24	20.0%	12.2%	0.03
San Francisco	140,447	1.3%	65	69	134	3.6%	0.95	1	-	1	0.8%	0.7%	0.01
San Joaquin	231,286	2.1%	40	26	66	1.8%	0.29	1	-	1	0.8%	1.5%	0.00
San Luis Obispo	65,907	0.6%	19	9	28	0.8%	0.42	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
San Mateo	188,506	1.8%	15	6	21	0.6%	0.11	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Santa Barbara	126,158	1.2%	45	15	60	1.6%	0.48	3	-	3	2.5%	5.0%	0.02
Santa Clara	505,587	4.7%	16	24	40	1.1%	0.08	1	-	1	0.8%	2.5%	0.00
Santa Cruz	71,794	0.7%	18	7	25	0.7%	0.35	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Shasta	45,233	0.4%	20	8	28	0.8%	0.62	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Sierra	573	0.0%	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-
Siskiyou	10,588	0.1%	-	8	8	0.2%	0.76	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Solano	116,573	1.1%	17	22	39	1.0%	0.33	1	1	2	1.7%	5.1%	0.02
Sonoma	122,110	1.1%	27	19	46	1.2%	0.38	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Stanislaus	168,726	1.6%	37	9	46	1.2%	0.27	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Sutter	29,475	0.3%	1	-	1	0.0%	0.03	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Tehama	18,082	0.2%	4	9	13	0.3%	0.72	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Trinity	2,768	0.0%	2	-	2	0.1%	0.72	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Tulare	166,281	1.5%	20	3	23	0.6%	0.14	1	-	1	0.8%	4.3%	0.01
Tuolumne	10,753	0.1%	6	-	6	0.2%	0.56	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Ventura	237,831	2.2%	37	9	46	1.2%	0.19	3	-	3	2.5%	6.5%	0.01
Yolo	60,998	0.6%	4	12	16	0.4%	0.26	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Yuba	24,761	0.2%	5	6	11	0.3%	0.44	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Missing	-	-	-	8	8	0.2%	n/a	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	n/a

## Children in PROBATION Supervised Foster Care by Placement Type: April 1, 2016

### Number of Children in Each Placement Type, Each County's Percentage of the Statewide Total for Each Type of Placement, Percentage in Each Placement Type, and Prevalence per 1,000 Children in the General Population

Data Source: University of California at Berkeley, Center for Social Services Research; CWS/CMS 2016 Quarter 1 Extract; Program version: 1.35 Database version: 6A04E798.

Webster, D., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Dawson, W., McGruder, J., Exel, M., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Putnam-Hornstein, E., Wiegmann, W., Rezvan, G., Wagstaff, K., Sandoval, A., Yee, H., Xiong, B., Benton, C., Tobler, A., White, J., & Kai, C. (2016). CCWIP reports. Retrieved 8/15/2016, from University of California at Berkeley California Child Welfare Indicators Project website. URL: <[http://cssr.berkeley.edu/ucb\\_childwelfare/](http://cssr.berkeley.edu/ucb_childwelfare/)>

County	Non-Relative Foster Family Home						Foster Family Agency					
	Minor Children: Ages 0 to 17	Non-Minor Dependents: Ages 18 to 21	Total CWS Foster Care Place-ments	Percent of State-wide Total	Percent in Each Place-ment Type	Preva-lence per 1,000 Children	Minor Children: Ages 0 to 17	Non-Minor Dependents: Ages 18 to 21	Total CWS Foster Care Place-ments	Percent of State-wide Total	Percent in Each Place-ment Type	Preva-lence per 1,000 Children
California - Statewide	2	-	2	100%	0.1%	0.00	14	11	25	100%	0.7%	0.00
Alameda	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Alpine	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-
Amador	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Butte	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Calaveras	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Colusa	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Contra Costa	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Del Norte	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	3	3	12.0%	21.4%	0.44
El Dorado	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	1	-	1	4.0%	7.7%	0.02
Fresno	1	-	1	50.0%	1.4%	0.00	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Glenn	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Humboldt	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	1	1	4.0%	5.0%	0.03
Imperial	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Inyo	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Kern	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	5	1	6	24.0%	2.6%	0.02
Kings	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Lake	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Lassen	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	3	2	5	20.0%	22.7%	0.72
Los Angeles	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	1	-	1	4.0%	0.1%	0.00
Madera	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Marin	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Mariposa	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Mendocino	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Merced	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	2	-	2	8.0%	4.4%	0.02
Modoc	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Mono	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-
Monterey	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Napa	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Nevada	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Orange	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	2	2	8.0%	2.0%	0.00
Placer	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Plumas	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Riverside	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Sacramento	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
San Benito	-	-	-	0.0%	#DIV/0!	-	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-
San Bernardino	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
San Diego	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
San Francisco	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
San Joaquin	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
San Luis Obispo	1	-	1	50.0%	3.6%	0.02	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
San Mateo	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Santa Barbara	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	1	-	1	4.0%	1.7%	0.01
Santa Clara	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Santa Cruz	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Shasta	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Sierra	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-
Siskiyou	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Solano	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Sonoma	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Stanislaus	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	1	-	1	4.0%	2.2%	0.01
Sutter	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Tehama	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	1	1	4.0%	7.7%	0.06
Trinity	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Tulare	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Tuolumne	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	1	-	1	4.0%	16.7%	0.09
Ventura	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Yolo	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Yuba	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Missing	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	n/a	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	n/a

## Children in PROBATION Supervised Foster Care by Placement Type: April 1, 2016

### Number of Children in Each Placement Type, Each County's Percentage of the Statewide Total for Each Type of Placement, Percentage in Each Placement Type, and Prevalence per 1,000 Children in the General Population

Data Source: University of California at Berkeley, Center for Social Services Research; CWS/CMS 2016 Quarter 1 Extract; Program version: 1.35 Database version: 6A04E798.

Webster, D., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Dawson, W., Magruder, J., Exel, M., Cuccaro-Almira, S., Putnam-Hornstein, E., Wiegmann, W., Rezvani, G., Wagstaff, K., Sandoval, A., Yee, H., Xiong, B., Benton, C., Tobler, A., White, J., & Kai, C. (2016). CCWIP reports. Retrieved 8/15/2016, from University of California at Berkeley California Child Welfare Indicators Project website. URL: <[http://ccsr.berkeley.edu/ucb\\_childwelfare/](http://ccsr.berkeley.edu/ucb_childwelfare/)>

County	Group Home					Guardian						
	Minor Children: Ages 0 to 17	Non-Minor Dependents: Ages 18 to 21	Total CWS Foster Care Placements	Percent of Statewide Total	Percent in Each Placement Type	Prevalence per 1,000 Children	Minor Children: Ages 0 to 17	Non-Minor Dependents: Ages 18 to 21	Total CWS Foster Care Placements	Percent of Statewide Total	Percent in Each Placement Type	Prevalence per 1,000 Children
California - Statewide	1,614	287	1,901	100%	51.2%	0.18	4	1	5	100%	0.1%	0.00
Alameda	63	21	84	4.4%	32.6%	0.21	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Alpine	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-
Amador	1	-	1	0.1%	50%	0.15	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Butte	3	-	3	0.2%	75.0%	0.05	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Calaveras	1	1	2	0.1%	100.0%	0.21	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Colusa	2	1	3	0.2%	75.0%	0.41	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-
Contra Costa	59	11	70	3.7%	48.3%	0.23	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Del Norte	4	2	6	0.3%	42.9%	0.88	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
El Dorado	7	1	8	0.4%	61.5%	0.18	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Fresno	25	1	26	1.4%	35.1%	0.08	2	-	2	40.0%	2.7%	0.01
Glenn	1	-	1	0.1%	20.0%	0.11	-	1	1	20.0%	20.0%	0.11
Humboldt	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Imperial	7	5	12	0.6%	28.6%	0.20	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Inyo	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Kern	98	12	110	5.8%	47.2%	0.37	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Kings	7	1	8	0.4%	50.0%	0.17	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Lake	9	2	11	0.6%	57.9%	0.70	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Lassen	5	-	5	0.3%	22.7%	0.72	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-
Los Angeles	567	97	664	34.9%	56.3%	0.24	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Madera	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Marin	10	-	10	0.5%	45.5%	0.17	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Mariposa	-	-	-	0.0%	0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Mendocino	7	-	7	0.4%	70.0%	0.32	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Merced	29	2	31	1.6%	68.9%	0.33	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Modoc	5	-	5	0.3%	100.0%	2.36	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Mono	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-
Monterey	35	2	37	1.9%	64.9%	0.29	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Napa	13	-	13	0.7%	52.0%	0.36	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Nevada	2	-	2	0.1%	40.0%	0.10	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Orange	27	6	33	1.7%	33.0%	0.04	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Placer	7	3	10	0.5%	76.9%	0.10	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Plumas	2	1	3	0.2%	37.5%	0.80	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-
Riverside	102	13	115	6.0%	78.2%	0.16	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Sacramento	76	16	92	4.8%	45.3%	0.22	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
San Benito	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-
San Bernardino	89	10	99	5.2%	65.6%	0.15	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
San Diego	74	23	97	5.1%	49.5%	0.11	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
San Francisco	40	18	58	3.1%	43.3%	0.41	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
San Joaquin	34	7	41	2.2%	62.1%	0.18	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
San Luis Obispo	11	-	11	0.6%	39.3%	0.17	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
San Mateo	10	-	10	0.5%	47.6%	0.05	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Santa Barbara	30	7	37	1.9%	61.7%	0.29	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Santa Clara	9	4	13	0.7%	32.5%	0.03	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Santa Cruz	13	4	17	0.9%	68.0%	0.24	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Shasta	13	1	14	0.7%	50.0%	0.31	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Sierra	-	-	-	0.0%	#DIV/0!	-	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-
Siskiyou	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Solano	13	-	13	0.7%	33.3%	0.11	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Sonoma	24	3	27	1.4%	58.7%	0.22	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Stanislaus	28	3	31	1.6%	67.4%	0.18	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Sutter	1	-	1	0.1%	100.0%	0.03	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Tehama	1	-	1	0.1%	7.7%	0.06	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Trinity	2	-	2	0.1%	100.0%	0.72	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Tulare	14	-	14	0.7%	60.9%	0.08	2	-	2	40.0%	8.7%	0.01
Tuolumne	4	-	4	0.2%	66.7%	0.37	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Ventura	25	-	25	1.3%	54.3%	0.11	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Yolo	2	4	6	0.3%	37.5%	0.10	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Yuba	5	1	6	0.3%	54.5%	0.24	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Missing	-	-	2	0.1%	25.0%	n/a	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	n/a

## Children in PROBATION Supervised Foster Care by Placement Type: April 1, 2016

**Number of Children in Each Placement Type, Each County's Percentage of the Statewide Total for Each Type of Placement, Percentage in Each Placement Type, and Prevalence per 1,000 Children in the General Population**

Data Source: University of California at Berkeley, Center for Social Services Research; CWS/CMS 2016 Quarter 1 Extract; Program version: 1.35 Database version: 6A04E798.

Webster, D., Armito, M., Lee, S., Dawson, W., Magruder, J., Extel, M., Cuccaro-Almén, S., Putnam-Hornstein, E., Wiegmann, W., Rezvani, G., Wagstaff, K., Sandoval, A., Yea, H., Xiong, B., Benton, C., Tobler, A., White, J., & Kai, C. (2016). CCWIP reports. Retrieved 8/15/2016, from University of California at Berkeley California Child Welfare Indicators Project website. URL: <[http://csr.berkeley.edu/ucb\\_childwelfare](http://csr.berkeley.edu/ucb_childwelfare)>

County	AB 12 Supervised Independent Living Placement (SILP)						Court Specified Home					
	Minor Children: Ages 0 to 17	Non-Minor Dependents: Ages 18 to 21	Total CWS Foster Care Place-ments	Percent of State-wide Total	Percent in Each Place-ment Type	Preva-lence per 1,000 Children	Minor Children: Ages 0 to 17	Non-Minor Dependents: Ages 18 to 21	Total CWS Foster Care Place-ments	Percent of State-wide Total	Percent in Each Place-ment Type	Preva-lence per 1,000 Children
California - Statewide	319	319	100%	8.6%	0.03		20	21	41	100%	1.1%	0.00
Alameda	38	38	11.9%	14.7%	0.09		-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Alpine	-	-	0.0%	-	-		-	-	-	0.0%	-	-
Amador	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-		-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Butte	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-		-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Calaveras	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-		-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Colusa	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-		-	-	1	2.4%	-	0.14
Contra Costa	1	1	0.3%	0.7%	0.00		-	-	1	2.4%	0.7%	0.00
Del Norte	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-		-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
El Dorado	2	2	0.6%	15.4%	0.04		-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Fresno	16	16	5.0%	21.6%	0.05		18	2	20	48.8%	27.0%	0.06
Glenn	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-		-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Humboldt	4	4	1.3%	20.0%	0.12		-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Imperial	14	14	4.4%	33.3%	0.23		-	-	-	0.0%	-	-
Inyo	1	1	0.3%	12.5%	0.23		-	-	-	0.0%	-	-
Kern	32	32	10.0%	13.7%	0.11		-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Kings	2	2	0.6%	12.5%	0.04		-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Lake	1	1	0.3%	5.3%	0.06		-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Lassen	5	5	1.6%	22.7%	0.72		-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Los Angeles	74	74	23.2%	6.3%	0.03		-	-	1	2.4%	0.1%	0.00
Madera	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-		-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Marin	7	7	2.2%	31.8%	0.12		-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Mariposa	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-		-	-	-	0.0%	-	-
Mendocino	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-		-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Merced	7	7	2.2%	15.6%	0.08		-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Modoc	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-		-	-	-	0.0%	-	-
Mono	-	-	0.0%	-	-		-	-	-	0.0%	-	-
Monterey	9	9	2.8%	15.8%	0.07		-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Napa	3	3	0.9%	12.0%	0.08		-	-	-	0.0%	-	-
Nevada	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-		-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Orange	19	19	6.0%	19.0%	0.02		-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Placer	1	1	0.3%	7.7%	0.01		-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Plumas	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-		-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Riverside	7	7	2.2%	4.8%	0.01		-	-	1	2.4%	0.7%	0.00
Sacramento	23	23	7.2%	11.3%	0.05		-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
San Benito	-	-	0.0%	-	-		-	-	-	0.0%	-	-
San Bernardino	1	1	0.3%	0.7%	0.00		-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
San Diego	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-		-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
San Francisco	21	21	6.6%	15.7%	0.15		-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
San Joaquin	1	1	0.3%	1.5%	0.00		1	2	3	7.3%	4.5%	0.01
San Luis Obispo	7	7	2.2%	25.0%	0.11		-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
San Mateo	3	3	0.9%	14.3%	0.02		-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Santa Barbara	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-		-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Santa Clara	3	3	0.9%	7.5%	0.01		-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Santa Cruz	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-		-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Shasta	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-		-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Sierra	-	-	0.0%	-	-		-	-	-	0.0%	-	-
Siskiyou	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-		-	-	5	12.2%	62.5%	0.47
Solano	4	4	1.3%	10.3%	0.03		-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Sonoma	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-		-	-	5	12.2%	10.9%	0.04
Stanislaus	2	2	0.6%	4.3%	0.01		-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Sutter	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-		-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Tehama	2	2	0.6%	15.4%	0.11		1	3	4	9.8%	30.8%	0.22
Trinity	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-		-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Tulare	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-		-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Tuolumne	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-		-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Ventura	3	3	0.9%	6.5%	0.01		-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Yolo	4	4	1.3%	25.0%	0.07		-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Yuba	2	2	0.6%	18.2%	0.08		-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Missing	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	n/a		-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	n/a

## Children in PROBATION Supervised Foster Care by Placement Type: April 1, 2016

### Number of Children in Each Placement Type, Each County's Percentage of the Statewide Total for Each Type of Placement, Percentage in Each Placement Type, and Prevalence per 1,000 Children in the General Population

Data Source: University of California at Berkeley, Center for Social Services Research; CWS/CMS 2016 Quarter 1 Extract; Program version: 3.35 Database version: 6A04E798.

Webster, D., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Dawson, W., Magruder, J., Excel, M., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Putnam-Hornstein, E., Wiegmann, W., Rezvani, G., Wagstaff, K., Sandoval, A., Yee, H., Xiong, B., Benton, C., Tobler, A., White, J., & Kal, C. (2016). CCWIP reports. Retrieved 8/5/2016, from University of California at Berkeley California Child Welfare Indicators Project website. URL: <[http://ccsr.berkeley.edu/ucb\\_childwelfare/](http://ccsr.berkeley.edu/ucb_childwelfare/)>

County	Trial Home Visit						Transitional Housing						
	Minor Children: Ages 0 to 17	Non-Minor Dependents: Ages 18 to 21	Total CWS Foster Care Place-ments	Percent of State-wide Total	Percent in Each Place-ment Type	Preva-lence per 1,000 Children	Minor Children: Ages 0 to 17	Non-Minor Dependents: Ages 18 to 21	Total CWS Foster Care Place-ments	Percent of State-wide Total	Percent in Each Place-ment Type	Preva-lence per 1,000 Children	
California - Statewide	54	51	105	100%	2.8%	0.01	6	269	275	100%	7.4%	0.03	
Alameda	22	27	49	46.7%	19.0%	0.12	3	41	44	16.0%	17.1%	0.11	
Alpine	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	
Amador	-	-	-	0.0%	0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	
Butte	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	
Calaveras	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	
Colusa	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	
Contra Costa	4	6	10	9.5%	6.9%	0.03	1	24	25	9.1%	17.2%	0.08	
Del Norte	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	
El Dorado	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	
Fresno	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	
Glenn	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.4%	20.0%	0.11	
Humboldt	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	
Imperial	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	
Inyo	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	
Kern	2	1	3	2.9%	1.3%	0.01	-	-	13	13	4.7%	5.6%	0.04
Kings	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	1	1	0.4%	0.02	-
Lake	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	1	1	0.4%	0.06	-
Lassen	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	2	2	0.7%	9.1%	0.29
Los Angeles	21	7	28	26.7%	2.4%	0.01	-	-	50	50	18.2%	4.2%	0.02
Madera	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Marin	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Mariposa	-	-	-	0.0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Mendocino	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Merced	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	2	2	0.7%	4.4%	0.02
Modoc	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-
Mono	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-
Monterey	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	2	2	1.5%	7.0%	0.03
Napa	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	5	5	1.8%	20.0%	0.14
Nevada	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	1	1	0.4%	20.0%	0.05
Orange	3	3	3	2.9%	3.0%	0.00	-	-	4	4	1.5%	4.0%	0.00
Placer	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Plumas	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	1	1	0.4%	-	0.27
Riverside	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	8	8	2.9%	5.4%	0.01
Sacramento	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	37	37	13.5%	18.2%	0.09
San Benito	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-
San Bernardino	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	7	7	2.5%	4.6%	0.01
San Diego	2	2	2	1.9%	1.0%	0.00	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
San Francisco	5	3	8	7.6%	6.0%	0.06	-	-	10	10	3.6%	7.5%	0.07
San Joaquin	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	12	12	4.4%	18.2%	0.05
San Luis Obispo	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	1	1	0.4%	3.6%	0.02
San Mateo	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Santa Barbara	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	2	2	0.7%	3.3%	0.02
Santa Clara	1	1	1	1.0%	-	0.00	-	-	7	7	2.5%	17.5%	0.01
Santa Cruz	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	1	1	0.4%	4.0%	0.01
Shasta	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	6	6	2.2%	21.4%	0.13
Sierra	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-
Siskiyou	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	1	1	0.4%	12.5%	0.09
Solano	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	10	10	3.6%	25.6%	0.09
Sonoma	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	8	8	2.9%	17.4%	0.07
Stanislaus	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	3	3	1.1%	6.5%	0.02
Sutter	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Tehama	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	1	1	0.4%	-	0.06
Trinity	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-
Tulare	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-
Tuolumne	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Ventura	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	2	2	0.7%	4.3%	0.01
Yolo	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	2	2	0.7%	12.5%	0.03
Yuba	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	3	3	1.1%	27.3%	0.12
Missing	-	1	1	1.0%	12.5%	n/a	-	-	-	-	0.0%	n/a	-

## Children in PROBATION Supervised Foster Care by Placement Type: April 1, 2016

### Number of Children in Each Placement Type, Each County's Percentage of the Statewide Total for Each Type of Placement, Percentage in Each Placement Type, and Prevalence per 1,000 Children in the General Population

Data Source: University of California at Berkeley, Center for Social Services Research; CWS/CMS 2016 Quarter 1 Extract; Program version: 1.35 Database version: 6ADME798.

Webster, D., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Dewon, W., Magruder, J., Exel, M., Cuccaro-Almuni, S., Putnam-Hornstein, E., Wiegmann, W., Rezvani, G., Wagstaff, K., Sandoval, A., Yee, H., Xiong, B., Benton, C., Tobler, A., White, J., & Kai, C. (2016). CCWIP reports. Retrieved 8/15/2016, from University of California at Berkeley California Child Welfare Indicators Project website. URL: <[http://ccsr.berkeley.edu/ucb\\_childwelfare/](http://ccsr.berkeley.edu/ucb_childwelfare/)>

County	Runaways					Other: Pre-Adoption (1), Shelter (4), Non-Foster Care (132), and Other (273)						
	Minor Children: Ages 0 to 17	Non-Minor Dependents: Ages 18 to 21	Total CWS Foster Care Placements	Percent of State-wide Total	Percent in Each Placement Type	Prevalence per 1,000 Children	Minor Children: Ages 0 to 17	Non-Minor Dependents: Ages 18 to 21	Total CWS Foster Care Placements	Percent of State-wide Total	Percent in Each Placement Type	
California - Statewide	316	197	513	100%	13.8%	0.05	168	242	410	100%	11.0%	0.04
Alameda	1	5	6	1.2%	2.3%	0.01	9	24	33	8.0%	12.8%	0.08
Alpine	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-
Amador	1	-	1	0.2%	50.0%	0.15	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Butte	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	1	1	0.2%	25.0%	0.02
Calaveras	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Colusa	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Contra Costa	4	12	16	3.1%	11.0%	0.05	4	18	22	5.4%	15.2%	0.07
Del Norte	1	-	1	0.2%	7.1%	0.15	-	4	4	1.0%	28.6%	0.59
El Dorado	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	1	1	0.2%	7.7%	0.02
Fresno	2	1	3	0.6%	4.1%	0.01	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Glenn	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	1	1	2	0.5%	40.0%	0.22
Humboldt	2	1	3	0.6%	15.0%	0.09	5	4	9	2.2%	45.0%	0.28
Imperial	4	3	7	1.4%	16.7%	0.12	6	2	8	2.0%	19.0%	0.13
Inyo	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-	5	5	1.2%	-	1.13
Kern	13	6	19	3.7%	8.2%	0.06	14	25	39	9.5%	16.7%	0.13
Kings	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	2	2	4	1.0%	25.0%	0.09
Lake	2	1	3	0.6%	15.8%	0.19	-	3	3	0.7%	15.8%	0.19
Lassen	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	2	1	3	0.7%	13.6%	0.43
Los Angeles	169	89	258	50.3%	21.9%	0.09	30	35	65	15.9%	5.5%	0.02
Madera	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	1	1	0.2%	100.0%	0.02
Marin	2	1	3	0.6%	-	0.05	1	1	2	0.5%	-	0.03
Mariposa	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Mendocino	1	-	1	0.2%	10.0%	0.05	-	-	1	0.2%	10.0%	0.05
Merced	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	2	1	3	0.7%	6.7%	0.03
Modoc	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Mono	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-
Monterey	-	1	1	0.2%	1.8%	0.01	5	-	5	1.2%	8.8%	0.04
Napa	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	1	1	2	0.5%	8.0%	0.06
Nevada	2	-	2	0.4%	40.0%	0.10	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Orange	6	4	10	1.9%	10.0%	0.01	8	19	27	6.6%	27.0%	0.03
Placer	1	-	1	0.2%	7.7%	0.01	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Plumas	1	-	1	0.2%	12.5%	0.27	-	3	3	0.7%	37.5%	0.80
Riverside	7	-	7	1.4%	4.8%	0.01	-	6	6	1.5%	4.1%	0.01
Sacramento	19	4	23	4.5%	11.3%	0.05	14	11	25	6.1%	12.3%	0.06
San Benito	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-
San Bernardino	15	8	23	4.5%	15.2%	0.03	16	5	21	5.1%	13.9%	0.03
San Diego	16	20	36	7.0%	18.4%	0.04	12	25	37	9.0%	18.9%	0.04
San Francisco	13	6	19	3.7%	14.2%	0.14	6	11	17	4.1%	12.7%	0.12
San Joaquin	3	1	4	0.8%	6.1%	0.02	1	3	4	1.0%	6.1%	0.02
San Luis Obispo	4	-	4	0.8%	14.3%	0.06	3	1	4	1.0%	14.3%	0.06
San Mateo	-	1	1	0.2%	4.8%	0.01	5	2	7	1.7%	33.3%	0.04
Santa Barbara	10	4	14	2.7%	23.3%	0.11	1	2	3	0.7%	5.0%	0.02
Santa Clara	3	6	9	1.8%	22.5%	0.02	3	3	6	1.5%	15.0%	0.01
Santa Cruz	4	-	4	0.8%	16.0%	0.06	1	2	3	0.7%	12.0%	0.04
Shasta	3	-	3	0.6%	10.7%	0.07	4	1	5	1.2%	17.9%	0.11
Sierra	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-
Siskiyou	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	2	2	0.5%	25.0%	0.19
Solano	2	3	5	1.0%	12.8%	0.04	1	4	5	1.2%	12.8%	0.04
Sonoma	1	-	1	0.2%	2.2%	0.01	2	3	5	1.2%	10.9%	0.04
Stanislaus	7	1	8	1.6%	17.4%	0.05	1	-	1	0.2%	2.2%	0.01
Sutter	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Tehama	2	-	2	0.4%	15.4%	0.11	-	1	1	0.2%	7.7%	0.06
Trinity	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Tulare	2	3	5	1.0%	21.7%	0.03	1	-	1	0.2%	4.3%	0.01
Tuolumne	1	-	1	0.2%	-	0.09	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-
Ventura	3	-	3	0.6%	6.5%	0.01	6	4	10	2.4%	21.7%	0.04
Yolo	1	2	3	0.6%	18.8%	0.05	1	-	1	0.2%	6.3%	0.02
Yuba	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Missing	-	-	2	0.4%	25.0%	n/a	-	3	3	0.7%	37.5%	n/a

Caseload as of the beginning of the month	Children in Probation Supervised Foster Care Placed in Group Homes: 1998 - 2016													Child Population (0 - 20) [as of 2015]	Prevalence of Probation Group Home Placements per 10,000 Children in the General County Population						
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016		
<b>As of April 1 of Each Year</b>																					
<b>Counties Sorted in Alpha Order</b>																					
California * Statewide	4,051	4,188	4,035	4,187	4,075	4,266	4,156	3,826	3,761	3,461	2,996	2,848	2,561	2,515	2,469	2,273	2,134	1,901	10,767,472	1.8	
1 Alameda	267	280	271	290	256	209	196	179	184	205	178	172	159	149	191	187	165	160	84	401,954	2.1
2 Alpine	1	1			1														287	-	
3 Amador	5	1	2	4	3	4	5	5	2	3	3	1	4	3	2	1	2	1	6,840	1.5	
4 Butte	61	45	32	28	34	25	29	28	23	28	25	14	6	6	5	8	9	3	3	58,519	0.5
5 Calaveras	4	5	9	6	3	13	12	13	7	2	4	5	3	5	2	1				9,577	2.1
6 Colusa	9	3	3	12	2	8	8	1	7	7										7,244	4.1
7 Contra Costa	90	81	98	104	93	92	60	73	99	95	75	54	63	45	57	81	102	95	70	300,476	2.3
8 Del Norte	4	1	2	5	3	2	3	3	5	3	6	4	3	2	3	4	6	8	6	6,815	8.8
9 El Dorado	15	19	23	26	28	15	12	6	5	4	2	3	3	8	5	11	7	3	8	44,530	1.8
10 Fresno	114	114	120	90	112	151	183	117	97	62	67	60	49	34	38	26	17	22	26	325,807	0.8
11 Glenn	6	8	5	6	7	9	2	2	2	4	1	3				1	1	2	1	8,927	1.1
12 Humboldt	3	17	15	12	17	16	12	10	17	15	9	11	10	5	3	1	4	6		32,568	-
13 Imperial	41	30	18	21	19	31	27	26	23	30	29	48	32	45	31	33	28	27	12	60,777	2.0
14 Inyo	16	12	10	10	4	8	12	10	5	3	4	5	4	4	3	4	2	1		4,436	-
15 Kern	89	84	83	60	106	113	161	155	144	127	135	129	117	120	108	113	105	113	110	297,424	3.7
16 Kings	18	14	11	10	23	23	19	13	15	21	19	16	22	19	14	13	10	7	8	46,956	1.7
17 Lake	19	12	13	16	17	15	19	17	14	10	11	15	9	6	6	11	10	12	11	15,652	7.0
18 Lassen	9	10	12	10	16	23	23	22	31	24	16	24	20	20	17	18	24	8	5	6,923	7.2
19 Los Angeles	720	990	1,053	1,099	1,161	1,392	1,429	1,311	1,282	1,320	1,040	1,065	997	879	822	796	701	664	664	2,745,350	2.4
20 Madera	9	22	18	23	16	14	14	18	18	15	11	8	6	7	9	11	9	1		49,442	-
21 Marin	47	38	29	38	26	27	15	20	27	21	18	16	17	17	16	13	9	10	59,680	1.7	
22 Mariposa	9	5	3		3	5	5	7	4	2	4	3	5	2		1			3,491	-	
23 Mendocino	16	17	22	21	34	40	15	15	19	18	19	21	16	13	10	8	11	11	7	21,965	3.2
24 Merced	87	64	58	62	47	43	37	29	25	21	13	14	12	16	15	17	19	36	31	93,157	3.3
25 Modoc	10	12	7	7	11	5	5	3	6	4	4	5	5	5	3	4	5	5	2,122	23.6	
26 Mono		1													1	2	2			3,433	-
27 Monterey	88	93	54	45	35	60	45	45	32	36	41	19	16	48	64	59	44	36	37	126,706	2.9
28 Napa	19	13	14	14	16	20	22	25	14	16	24	18	25	20	15	19	14	8	13	35,808	3.6
29 Nevada	13	11	5	9	10	13	9	5	12	8	4	8	7	4	6	5	4	2	2	20,483	1.0
30 Orange	82	68	82	83	115	106	90	77	68	64	62	68	50	51	49	46	38	33	841,170	0.4	
31 Pacer	41	29	28	30	37	29	18	19	32	27	23	29	26	17	14	10	8	10	98,848	1.0	
32 Plumas	7	6	6	3		1	1	2	5	6	9	6	6	6	8	8	4	3	3,763	8.0	

Caseload as of the beginning of the month	Children in Probation Supervised Foster Care Placed in Group Homes: 1998 - 2016													Prevalence of Probation Group Home Placements per 10,000 Children in the General County Population								
	Counties Sorted in Alpha Order																					
	As of April 1 of Each Year													Child Population (0 - 20) [as of 2015]	Prevalence of Group Home Placements on April 1, 2016							
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016			
California - Statewide	4,051	4,188	4,035	4,187	4,075	4,266	4,156	3,826	3,761	3,461	2,996	2,958	2,848	2,561	2,515	2,469	2,273	2,134	1,901	10,767,472	1.8	
33 Riverside	311	310	202	236	234	246	282	225	244	178	122	86	103	103	89	105	121	86	115	706,835	1.6	
34 Sacramento	158	137	141	165	188	237	216	248	220	194	186	141	164	128	128	138	130	115	92	419,455	2.2	
35 San Benito	3	2	5	10	8	5	5	2	3	5	8	7	8	7	2	3	3	3	18,374	-		
36 San Bernardino	317	273	294	506	255	280	250	215	241	180	153	164	173	159	196	139	99	121	99	667,120	1.5	
37 San Diego	138	184	184	171	157	180	134	127	125	108	106	112	120	90	55	81	104	91	97	870,119	1.1	
38 San Francisco	128	106	83	79	88	83	50	62	64	42	71	91	108	72	80	46	65	86	58	140,447	4.1	
39 San Joaquin	56	67	89	42	61	67	90	87	79	77	68	101	105	95	75	95	76	58	41	231,286	1.8	
40 San Luis Obispo	58	35	39	29	25	22	22	28	17	20	20	23	14	24	15	17	13	13	11	65,907	1.7	
41 San Mateo	72	78	84	89	75	78	68	68	54	30	28	29	36	24	24	11	9	4	10	188,506	0.5	
42 Santa Barbara	88	61	40	31	35	39	35	43	51	36	30	32	26	22	29	37	33	41	37	126,158	2.9	
43 Santa Clara	113	94	96	111	78	71	79	68	40	36	60	77	60	36	32	26	28	13	505,587	0.3		
44 Santa Cruz	26	25	24	19	27	16	16	15	16	15	16	12	11	19	12	21	11	17	18	17	71,794	2.4
45 Shasta	13	9	12	14	18	12	18	6	5	16	13	15	8	24	20	19	11	18	14	45,233	3.1	
46 Sierra	1	3	5	5	2	1							1	1						573	-	
47 Siskiyou	18	25	17	16	15	13	13	13	7	7	10	15	11	8	12	11	7	3	10,588	-		
48 Solano	39	55	57	65	63	36	21	14	13	20	17	9	9	11	18	21	23	20	13	116,573	1.1	
49 Sonoma	41	49	48	44	43	32	44	48	46	42	53	38	37	54	49	52	40	33	27	122,110	2.2	
50 Stanislaus	82	56	43	47	48	42	16	16	19	24	36	31	22	38	71	56	51	44	31	166,726	1.8	
51 Sutter	10	12	12	13	14	11	11	9	6	6	3	8	3	6	4	3	5	1	1	29,475	0.3	
52 Tehama	13	8	10	9	9	11	13	13	14	11	16	10	9	8	5	11	5	5	1	18,082	0.6	
53 Trinity	8	7	7	7	2	5	1							2	2		2	2	2	2,768	7.2	
54 Tulare	124	99	86	82	74	61	84	72	81	33	28	21	19	14	21	22	16	14	14	166,281	0.8	
55 Tuolumne	3	5	3	11	3	2			2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	3	1	4	10,753	3.7	
56 Ventura	43	41	50	62	83	71	66	61	57	34	23	24	22	20	18	21	17	21	25	237,831	1.1	
57 Yolo	30	19	30	29	26	21	31	27	28	16	14	12	6	4	5	5	10	7	6	60,998	1.0	
58 Yuba	21	27	25	12	13	13	14	10	4	2	2	1	4	5	2	4	7	6	24,761	2.4		
Missing	218	296	243	148	159	103	73	85	68	111	79	78	34	3	5	7	6	2	2			
Rest-of-State without Los Angeles	3,331	3,198	2,982	3,088	2,914	2,874	2,727	2,515	2,479	2,141	1,956	1,893	1,851	1,682	1,693	1,673	1,572	1,470	1,237	8,022,122	1.5	
LA as a percentage of California Statewide total	17.8%	23.6%	26.1%	26.2%	28.5%	32.6%	34.4%	34.3%	34.1%	38.1%	34.7%	36.0%	35.0%	34.3%	32.7%	32.2%	30.8%	31.1%	34.9%			

# Children in Probation Supervised Foster Care Placed in Group Homes: 1998 - 2016

## Counties sorted in descending order by change in caseload

Counties sorted in descending order	Caseload Change since January 1, 1998			Caseload Change in the 10-year period since April 1, 2006			Caseload Change in the 5-year period since April 1, 2011			Caseload Change in the 2-year period since April 1, 2014			Caseload Change in the 1-year period since April 1, 2015			
	Caseload	Percent	Counties sorted in descending order		Caseload	Percent	Counties sorted in descending order		Caseload	Percent	Counties sorted in descending order		Caseload	Percent	Counties sorted in descending order	
			California - Statewide	(1,860) -49%			California - Statewide	(660) -26%			California - Statewide	(372) -16%			California - Statewide	(233) -11%
1 Kern	21	24%	1 Shasta	9 180%	1 Contra Costa	25 56%	1 Merced	12 63%	1 Riverside	29 34%						
2 Del Norte	2	50%	2 Merced	6 24%	2 Merced	15 94%	2 Fresno	9 53%	2 San Diego	6 7%						
3 Shasta	1	8%	3 Monterey	5 16%	3 Santa Barbara	15 68%	3 Ventura	8 47%	3 San Mateo	6 150%						
4 Tuolumne	1	33%	4 El Dorado	3 68%	4 Riverside	12 12%	4 Kern	5 5%	4 El Dorado	5 167%						
5 Mono	-	# #####	5 Santa Cruz	2 13%	5 San Diego	7 8%	5 Santa Barbara	4 12%	5 Napa	5 63%						
6 Alpine	(1)	-100%	6 Stanislaus	2 7%	6 Lake	5 83%	6 Shasta	3 27%	6 Fresno	4 18%						
7 Sierra	(1)	-100%	7 Trinity	2	7 Santa Cruz	5 42%	7 Calaveras	2	7 Ventura	4 19%						
8 Calaveras	(2)	-50%	8 Tuolumne	2 100%	8 Ventura	5 25%	8 Trinity	2	8 Tuolumne	3 300%						
9 Humboldt	(3)	-100%	9 Yuba	2 50%	9 Del Norte	4 200%	9 Yuba	2 50%	9 Placer	2 25%						
10 San Benito	(3)	-100%	10 Del Norte	1 20%	10 Colusa	3	10 El Dorado	1 14%	10 Kings	1 14%						
11 Amador	(4)	-80%	11 Alpine	-	11 Solano	2 18%	11 Lake	1 10%	11 Marin	1 11%						
12 Lassen	(4)	-44%	12 Mono	-	12 Tuolumne	2 100%	12 Modoc	1 25%	12 Monterey	1 3%						
13 Plumas	(4)	-57%	13 Sierra	-	13 Yolo	2 50%	13 San Mateo	1 11%	13 Alpine	-						
14 Glenn	(5)	-83%	14 Solano	-	14 Yuba	2 50%	14 Tuolumne	1 33%	14 Butte	-	0%					
15 Modoc	(5)	-50%	15 Glenn	(1)	15 Glenn	1	15 Alpine	-	15 Colusa	-	0%					
16 Colusa	(6)	-67%	16 Modoc	(1)	16 Alpine	-	16 Amador	-	16 Glenn	-	0%					
17 Napa	(6)	-32%	17 Napa	(1)	17 El Dorado	-	17 Del Norte	-	17 Los Angeles	-	0%					
18 Trinity	(6)	-75%	18 Plumas	(2)	18 Modoc	-	18 Mariposa	-	18 Mariposa	-	0%					
19 El Dorado	(7)	-47%	19 Lake	(3)	19 Trinity	-	19 Mono	-	19 Modoc	-	0%					
20 Lake	(8)	-42%	20 San Benito	(3)	20 Tulare	-	20 Placer	-	20 Mono	-	0%					
21 Madera	(9)	-100%	21 Amador	(4)	21 Mono	(1)	21 San Bernardino	-	21 Nevada	-	0%					
22 Mariposa	(9)	-100%	22 Colusa	(4)	22 Sierra	(1)	22 Santa Cruz	-	22 Plumas	-	0%					
23 Mendocino	(9)	-56%	23 Mariposa	(4)	23 Mariposa	(2)	23 Sierra	-	23 San Benito	-						
24 Santa Cruz	(9)	-35%	24 Calaveras	(5)	24 Nevada	(2)	24 Glenn	(1)	24 Sierra	-						
25 Sutter	(9)	-90%	25 Inyo	(5)	25 Amador	(3)	25 Napa	(1)	25 Sutter	-	0%					
26 Kings	(10)	-56%	26 Sutter	(5)	26 Butte	(3)	26 Plumas	(1)	26 Trinity	-	0%					
27 Nevada	(11)	-85%	27 San Francisco	(6)	27 Calaveras	(3)	27 Inyo	(2)	27 Tulare	-	0%					
28 Tehama	(12)	-92%	28 San Luis Obispo	(6)	28 Plumas	(3)	28 Kings	(2)	28 Amador	(1)	-50%					
29 Sonoma	(14)	-34%	29 Kings	(7)	29 Inyo	(4)	29 Nevada	(2)	29 Calaveras	(1)	-33%					
30 San Joaquin	(15)	-27%	30 Siskiyou	(7)	30 Humboldt	(5)	30 San Luis Obispo	(2)	30 Inyo	(1)	-100%					
31 Yuba	(15)	-71%	31 Marin	(10)	31 Sutter	(5)	31 Tipton	(2)	31 Lake	(1)	-8%					
32 Inyo	(16)	-100%	32 Nevada	(10)	32 Mendocino	(6)	32 Marin	(3)	32 Madera	(11)	-100%					

## Children in Probation Supervised Foster Care Placed in Group Homes: 1998 - 2016

## Counties sorted in descending order by change in caseload

Caseload Change since January 1, 1998			Caseload Change in the 10-year period since April 1, 2006			Caseload Change in the 5-year period since April 1, 2011			Caseload Change in the 2-year period since April 1, 2014			Caseload Change in the 1-year period since April 1, 2015		
Counties sorted in descending order	Caseload	Percent	Counties sorted in descending order	Caseload	Percent	Counties sorted in descending order	Caseload	Percent	Counties sorted in descending order	Caseload	Percent	Counties sorted in descending order	Caseload	Percent
California - Statewide	(2,150)	-53%	California - Statewide	(1,860)	-49%	California - Statewide	(660)	-26%	California - Statewide	(372)	-16%	California - Statewide	(233)	-11%
33 Siskiyou	(18)	-100%	33 Imperial	(11)	-48%	33 Madera	(7)	-100%	33 San Benito	(3)	-100%	33 Santa Cruz	(1)	-6%
34 Ventura	(18)	-42%	34 Mendocino	(12)	-63%	34 Marin	(7)	-41%	34 Humboldt	(4)	-100%	34 Yolo	(1)	-14%
35 Contra Costa	(20)	-22%	35 Tehama	(13)	-93%	35 Napa	(7)	-35%	35 Mendocino	(4)	-36%	35 Yuba	(1)	-14%
36 Yolo	(24)	-80%	36 Santa Barbara	(14)	-27%	36 San Benito	(7)	-100%	36 Sutter	(4)	-80%	36 Del Norte	(2)	-25%
37 Solano	(26)	-67%	37 Humboldt	(17)	-100%	37 Stanislaus	(7)	-18%	37 Tehama	(4)	-80%	37 San Luis Obispo	(2)	-15%
38 Imperial	(29)	-71%	38 Madera	(18)	-100%	38 Tehama	(7)	-88%	38 Yolo	(4)	-40%	38 Kern	(3)	-3%
39 Placer	(31)	-76%	39 Sonoma	(19)	-41%	39 Fresno	(8)	-24%	39 Colusa	(5)	-63%	39 Lassen	(3)	-38%
40 Marin	(37)	-79%	40 Butte	(20)	-87%	40 Siskiyou	(8)	-100%	40 Butte	(6)	-67%	40 Siskiyou	(3)	-100%
41 San Diego	(41)	-30%	41 Placer	(22)	-69%	41 Kern	(10)	-8%	41 Riverside	(6)	-5%	41 Mendocino	(4)	-36%
42 San Luis Obispo	(47)	-81%	42 Yolo	(22)	-79%	42 Shasta	(10)	-42%	42 Monterey	(7)	-16%	42 Santa Barbara	(4)	-10%
43 Orange	(49)	-60%	43 Lassen	(26)	-84%	43 Kings	(11)	-58%	43 San Diego	(7)	-7%	43 Shasta	(4)	-22%
44 Monterey	(51)	-58%	44 San Diego	(28)	-22%	44 Monterey	(11)	-23%	44 San Francisco	(7)	-11%	44 Tehama	(4)	-80%
45 Santa Barbara	(51)	-58%	45 Contra Costa	(29)	-29%	45 San Luis Obispo	(13)	-54%	45 Siskiyou	(7)	-100%	45 Merced	(5)	-14%
46 Stanislaus	(51)	-62%	46 Ventura	(32)	-56%	46 San Francisco	(14)	-19%	46 Madera	(9)	-100%	46 Orange	(5)	-13%
47 Los Angeles	(56)	-8%	47 Kern	(34)	-24%	47 San Mateo	(14)	-58%	47 Solano	(10)	-43%	47 Humboldt	(6)	-100%
48 Merced	(56)	-64%	48 Orange	(35)	-51%	48 Lassen	(15)	-75%	48 Orange	(13)	-28%	48 Sonoma	(6)	-18%
49 Butte	(58)	-95%	49 San Joaquin	(38)	-48%	49 Placer	(16)	-62%	49 Santa Clara	(13)	-50%	49 Solano	(7)	-35%
50 San Mateo	(62)	-86%	50 San Mateo	(44)	-81%	50 Orange	(17)	-34%	50 Sonoma	(13)	-33%	50 Stanislaus	(13)	-30%
51 Sacramento	(66)	-42%	51 Santa Clara	(55)	-81%	51 Sonoma	(27)	-50%	51 Imperial	(16)	-57%	51 Imperial	(15)	-56%
52 San Francisco	(70)	-55%	52 Tulare	(67)	-83%	52 Imperial	(33)	-73%	52 Lassen	(19)	-79%	52 Santa Clara	(15)	-54%
53 Fresno	(88)	-77%	53 Fresno	(71)	-73%	53 Sacramento	(36)	-28%	53 Stanislaus	(20)	-39%	53 San Joaquin	(17)	-29%
54 Santa Clara	(100)	-88%	54 Alameda	(100)	-54%	54 Santa Clara	(47)	-78%	54 Contra Costa	(32)	-31%	54 San Bernardino	(22)	-18%
55 Tulare	(110)	-89%	55 Sacramento	(128)	-58%	55 San Joaquin	(54)	-57%	55 San Joaquin	(35)	-46%	55 Sacramento	(23)	-20%
56 Alameda	(183)	-69%	56 Riverside	(129)	-53%	56 San Bernardino	(60)	-38%	56 Los Angeles	(37)	-5%	56 Contra Costa	(25)	-26%
57 Riverside	(196)	-63%	57 San Bernardino	(142)	-59%	57 Alameda	(65)	-44%	57 Sacramento	(38)	-29%	57 San Francisco	(28)	-33%
58 San Bernardino	(218)	-69%	58 Los Angeles	(618)	-48%	58 Los Angeles	(215)	-24%	58 Alameda	(81)	-49%	58 Alameda	(76)	-48%
Missing	(216)	-99%	Missing	(66)	-97%	Missing	(1)	-33%	Missing	(4)	-67%	Missing	-	0%
Rest-of-State without Los Angeles	(2,094)	-63%	Rest-of-State without Los Angeles	(1,242)	-50%	Rest-of-State without Los Angeles	(445)	-26%	Rest-of-State without Los Angeles	(335)	-21%	Rest-of-State without Los Angeles	(233)	-16%

## Probation Group Home caseload on April 1, 2016

### Prevalence of Probation Group Home Placements per 10,000 Children in the General County Population

Counties sorted in descending order by caseload on April 1, 2016	Caseload	Percentage of Statewide Total Caseload	Counties sorted in descending order by Prevalence on April 1, 2016	Child Population (0-20) in 2015	Prevalence of Probation Group Home Placements on April 1, 2016
California - Statewide	<b>1,901</b>	<b>100%</b>	California - Statewide	<b>10,767,472</b>	<b>1.8</b>
1 Los Angeles	664	34.9%	1 Modoc	2,122	23.6
2 Riverside	115	6.0%	2 Del Norte	6,815	8.8
3 Kern	110	5.8%	3 Plumas	3,768	8.0
4 San Bernardino	99	5.2%	4 Trinity	2,768	7.2
5 San Diego	97	5.1%	5 Lassen	6,923	7.2
6 Sacramento	92	4.8%	6 Lake	15,652	7.0
7 Alameda	84	4.4%	7 Colusa	7,244	4.1
8 Contra Costa	70	3.7%	8 San Francisco	140,447	4.1
9 San Francisco	58	3.1%	9 Tuolumne	10,753	3.7
10 San Joaquin	41	2.2%	10 Kern	297,421	3.7
11 Monterey	37	1.9%	11 Napa	35,808	3.6
12 Santa Barbara	37	1.9%	12 Merced	93,157	3.3
13 Orange	33	1.7%	13 Mendocino	21,965	3.2
14 Merced	31	1.6%	14 Shasta	45,233	3.1
15 Stanislaus	31	1.6%	15 Santa Barbara	126,158	2.9
16 Sonoma	27	1.4%	16 Monterey	126,706	2.9
17 Fresno	26	1.4%	17 Yuba	24,761	2.4
18 Ventura	25	1.3%	18 Los Angeles	2,745,350	2.4
19 Santa Cruz	17	0.9%	19 Santa Cruz	71,794	2.4
20 Shasta	14	0.7%	20 Contra Costa	300,476	2.3
21 Tulare	14	0.7%	21 Sonoma	122,110	2.2
22 Napa	13	0.7%	22 Sacramento	419,455	2.2
23 Santa Clara	13	0.7%	23 Alameda	401,954	2.1
24 Solano	13	0.7%	24 Calaveras	9,577	2.1
25 Imperial	12	0.6%	25 Imperial	60,777	2.0
26 Lake	11	0.6%	26 Stanislaus	168,726	1.8
27 San Luis Obispo	11	0.6%	27 El Dorado	44,530	1.8
28 Marin	10	0.5%	28 San Joaquin	231,286	1.8
29 Placer	10	0.5%	29 Kings	46,956	1.7
30 San Mateo	10	0.5%	30 Marin	59,680	1.7
31 El Dorado	8	0.4%	31 San Luis Obispo	65,907	1.7
32 Kings	8	0.4%	32 Riverside	706,835	1.6

## Probation Group Home caseload on April 1, 2016

## Prevalence of Probation Group Home Placements per 10,000 Children in the General County Population

Counties sorted in descending order by caseload on April 1, 2016	Caseload	Percentage of Statewide Total Caseload	Counties sorted in descending order by Prevalence on April 1, 2016	Child Population (0-20) in 2015	Prevalence of Probation Group Home Placements on April 1, 2016
California - Statewide	<b>1,901</b>	<b>100%</b>	California - Statewide	<b>10,767,472</b>	<b>1.8</b>
33 Mendocino	7	0.4%	33 San Bernardino	<b>667,120</b>	<b>1.5</b>
34 Del Norte	6	0.3%	34 Amador	<b>6,840</b>	<b>1.5</b>
35 Yolo	6	0.3%	35 Glenn	<b>8,927</b>	<b>1.1</b>
36 Yuba	6	0.3%	36 Solano	<b>116,573</b>	<b>1.1</b>
37 Lassen	5	0.3%	37 San Diego	<b>870,119</b>	<b>1.1</b>
38 Modoc	5	0.3%	38 Ventura	<b>237,831</b>	<b>1.1</b>
39 Tuolumne	4	0.2%	39 Placer	<b>98,843</b>	<b>1.0</b>
40 Butte	3	0.2%	40 Yolo	<b>60,998</b>	<b>1.0</b>
41 Colusa	3	0.2%	41 Nevada	<b>20,483</b>	<b>1.0</b>
42 Plumas	3	0.2%	42 Tulare	<b>166,281</b>	<b>0.8</b>
43 Calaveras	2	0.1%	43 Fresno	<b>325,807</b>	<b>0.8</b>
44 Nevada	2	0.1%	44 Tehama	<b>18,082</b>	<b>0.6</b>
45 Trinity	2	0.1%	45 San Mateo	<b>188,506</b>	<b>0.5</b>
46 Amador	1	0.1%	46 Butte	<b>58,519</b>	<b>0.5</b>
47 Glenn	1	0.1%	47 Orange	<b>841,170</b>	<b>0.4</b>
48 Sutter	1	0.1%	48 Sutter	<b>29,475</b>	<b>0.3</b>
49 Tehama	1	0.1%	49 Santa Clara	<b>505,587</b>	<b>0.3</b>
50 Alpine	0	0.0%	50 Alpine	<b>287</b>	-
51 Humboldt	0	0.0%	51 Humboldt	<b>32,568</b>	-
52 Inyo	0	0.0%	52 Inyo	<b>4,436</b>	-
53 Madera	0	0.0%	53 Madera	<b>49,442</b>	-
54 Mariposa	0	0.0%	54 Mariposa	<b>3,491</b>	-
55 Mono	0	0.0%	55 Mono	<b>3,433</b>	-
56 San Benito	0	0.0%	56 San Benito	<b>18,374</b>	-
57 Sierra	0	0.0%	57 Sierra	<b>573</b>	-
58 Siskiyou	0	0.0%	58 Siskiyou	<b>10,588</b>	-
Missing	2	0.1%	Missing		
Rest-of-State without Los Angeles	<b>1,237</b>	<b>65%</b>	Rest-of-State without Los Angeles	<b>8,022,122</b>	<b>1.5</b>

## **Foster Children Placed in Group Homes on April 1, 2016**

Ages: 0 to 21 Years

CHILD WELFARE Supervised

# Foster Children Placed in Group Homes on April 1, 2016

Ages: 0 to 21 Years

## CHILD WELFARE Supervised

## PROBATION Supervised


Counties	Total Number of Children (0 - 20) in 2015	CWS Group Home Placements				Probation Group Home Placements				Total Group Home Placements				COMBINED CWS and Probation Supervised Foster Care Placements				
		Number Children in the General Population	Prevalence per 10,000	As a Percentage of Total County Group Home Place-ments	As a Percentage of Total County CWS Place-ments	Number Children in the General Population	Prevalence per 10,000	As a Percentage of Total County Group Home Probation Place-ments	As a Percentage of Total County Probation Place-ments	Number Children in the General Population	Prevalence per 10,000	As a Percentage of Total County Foster Care Place-ments	Number Children in the General Population	Prevalence per 10,000	As a Percentage of Total County Foster Care Place-ments	Number Children in the General Population	Prevalence per 10,000	As a Percentage of Total County Foster Care Place-ments
Placer	98,848	12	1.21	54.5%	3.9%	308	10	1.01	45.5%	76.9%	13	22	2.23	6.9%	321			
Plumas	3,768	5	13.27	62.5%	8.9%	56	3	7.96	37.5%	37.5%	8	8	21.23	12.5%	64			
Riverside	706,835	198	2.80	63.3%	4.6%	4,296	115	1.63	36.7%	78.2%	147	313	4.43	7.0%	4,443			
Sacramento	419,455	234	5.58	71.8%	8.1%	2,872	92	2.19	28.2%	45.3%	203	326	7.77	10.6%	3,075			
San Benito	18,374		0.00	#DIV/0!	0.0%	51		0.00	#DIV/0!		0	0	0.00	0.0%	51			
San Bernardino	667,120	350	5.25	78.0%	6.2%	5,645	99	1.48	22.0%	65.6%	151	449	6.73	7.7%	5,796			
San Diego	870,119	218	2.51	69.2%	6.9%	3,162	97	1.11	30.8%	49.5%	196	315	3.62	9.4%	3,358			
San Francisco	140,447	79	5.62	57.7%	8.6%	917	58	4.13	42.3%	43.3%	134	137	9.75	13.0%	1,051			
San Joaquin	231,286	150	6.49	78.5%	9.3%	1,619	41	1.77	21.5%	62.1%	66	191	8.26	11.3%	1,685			
San Luis Obispo	65,907	23	3.49	67.6%	6.1%	379	11	1.67	32.4%	39.3%	28	34	5.16	8.4%	407			
San Mateo	188,506	18	0.95	64.3%	5.3%	337	10	0.53	35.7%	47.6%	21	28	1.49	7.8%	358			
Santa Barbara	126,158	30	2.38	44.8%	6.2%	484	37	2.93	55.2%	61.7%	60	67	5.31	12.3%	544			
Santa Clara	505,587	110	2.18	89.4%	8.5%	1,288	13	0.26	10.6%	32.5%	40	123	2.43	9.3%	1,328			
Santa Cruz	71,794	23	3.20	57.5%	8.4%	275	17	2.37	42.5%	68.0%	25	40	5.57	13.3%	300			
Shasta	45,223	14	3.10	50.0%	2.5%	556	14	3.10	50.0%	50.0%	28	28	6.19	4.8%	584			
Sierra	573	1	17.45	100%	33.3%	3					1	17.45	33.3%	3				
Siskiyou	10,568	5	4.72	100.0%	4.0%	124		0.00	0.0%	0.0%	8	5	4.72	3.8%	132			
Solano	116,573	40	3.43	75.5%	7.7%	519	13	1.12	24.5%	33.3%	39	53	4.55	9.5%	558			
Sonoma	122,110	29	2.37	51.8%	5.8%	502	27	2.21	48.2%	58.7%	46	56	4.59	10.2%	548			
Stanislaus	168,726	34	2.02	52.3%	4.2%	802	31	1.84	47.7%	67.4%	46	65	3.85	7.7%	848			
Sutter	29,475	13	4.41	92.9%	8.3%	156	1	0.34	7.1%	100.0%	1	14	4.75	8.9%	157			
Tehama	18,082	6	3.32	85.7%	2.5%	242	1	0.55	14.3%	7.7%	13	7	3.87	2.7%	255			
Trinity	2,768	2	7.23	50.0%	3.5%	57	2				2	4	14.45	6.8%	59			
Tulare	166,281	31	1.86	68.9%	2.9%	1,083	14	0.84	31.1%	60.9%	23	45	2.71	4.1%	1,106			
Tuolumne	10,753	3	2.79	42.9%	2.8%	108	4	3.72	57.1%	66.7%	6	7	6.51	6.1%	114			
Ventura	237,831	60	2.52	70.6%	6.5%	920	25	1.05	29.4%	54.3%	46	85	3.57	8.8%	966			
Yolo	60,988	13	2.13	68.4%	4.3%	302	6	0.98	31.6%	37.5%	16	19	3.11	6.0%	318			
Yuba	24,761	10	4.04	62.5%	5.1%	198	6	2.42	37.5%	54.5%	11	16	6.46	7.7%	209			
Missing		1		33.3%	1.8%	56	2			66.7%	25.0%	8	3	4.7%	64			

S:\DOUG\CO\$T\STDY\Probation Group Homes 1998 to April 2016 8-01-16CWSPProb GroupHome PrevApril2016

University of California at Berkeley Center for Social Services Research. Data Source: CWS/CMS 2016 Quarter 1 Extract. Program version: 1.35 Database version: 6404ET98

Webster, D., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Dawson, W., Maguire, J., Ezel, M., Cuccia-Alman, S., Putnam-Hornstein, E., Wegmann, G., Wagstaff, K., Sandovar, A., Tee, H., Xiong, B., Benton, C., Tobler, A., Rezvani, G., White, J., & Kai, C. (2016). CCWIP reports. Retrieved 8/15/2016, from University of California at Berkeley California Child Welfare Indicators Project website. URL: <<http://ccwip.berkeley.edu/ucbcw/childwelfare>>

**PROBATION Supervised Placements in GROUP HOMES on April 1, 2016: Percentage of Total  
Probation Supervised Foster Children Placed in Group Homes**



S:\DOUG\COSTS\STDY\Probation Group Homes 1998 to April 2016 8-01-16 ChartProbGH Percent29 April2016

This chart includes the 29 Counties with the largest number of children in their general County population.

# Prevalence of Foster Children Placed in Group Homes on April 1, 2016

**Minor Children and Non-Minor Dependents: 0 to 21 Years Old**

**PREVALENCE: Number of Group Home Placements per 10,000 Children in the General County Population**


**29 Counties with the Largest Number of Children in the General County Population, Sorted in Descending Order by Prevalence of Group Home Placements**

CHILD WELFARE Supervised Placements			PROBATION Supervised Placements			TOTAL Group Home Placements		
Counties	Number of Placements	PREVALENCE	Counties	Number of Placements	PREVALENCE	Counties	Number of Placements	PREVALENCE
California	3,344	3.11	California	1,901	1.77	California	5,245	4.87
1 San Joaquin	150	6.49	1 San Francisco	58	4.13	1 San Francisco	137	9.75
2 Imperial	39	6.42	2 Kern	110	3.70	2 Imperial	51	8.39
3 San Francisco	79	5.62	3 Merced	31	3.33	3 San Joaquin	191	8.26
4 Sacramento	234	5.58	4 Santa Barbara	37	2.93	4 Sacramento	326	7.77
5 San Bernardino	350	5.25	5 Monterey	37	2.92	5 San Bernardino	449	6.73
6 Butte	30	5.13	6 Los Angeles	664	2.42	6 Kern	172	5.78
7 San Luis Obispo	23	3.49	7 Santa Cruz	17	2.37	7 Los Angeles	1,551	5.65
8 Solano	40	3.43	8 Contra Costa	70	2.33	8 Butte	33	5.64
9 Los Angeles	887	3.23	9 Sonoma	27	2.21	9 Monterey	71	5.60
10 Santa Cruz	23	3.20	10 Sacramento	92	2.19	10 Santa Cruz	40	5.57
11 Contra Costa	88	2.93	11 Alameda	84	2.09	11 Santa Barbara	67	5.31
12 Alameda	116	2.89	12 Imperial	12	1.97	12 Contra Costa	158	5.26
13 Riverside	198	2.80	13 Stanislaus	31	1.84	13 San Luis Obispo	34	5.16
14 Monterey	34	2.68	14 San Joaquin	41	1.77	14 Alameda	200	4.98
15 Ventura	60	2.52	15 Marin	10	1.68	15 Merced	46	4.94
16 San Diego	218	2.51	16 San Luis Obispo	11	1.67	16 Sonoma	56	4.59
17 Santa Barbara	30	2.38	17 Riverside	115	1.63	17 Solano	53	4.55
18 Sonoma	29	2.37	18 San Bernardino	99	1.48	18 Riverside	313	4.43
19 Orange	184	2.19	19 Solano	13	1.12	19 Stanislaus	65	3.85
20 Santa Clara	110	2.18	20 San Diego	97	1.11	20 San Diego	315	3.62
21 Yolo	13	2.13	21 Ventura	25	1.05	21 Ventura	85	3.57
22 Kern	62	2.08	22 Placer	10	1.01	22 Yolo	19	3.11
23 Stanislaus	34	2.02	23 Yolo	6	0.98	23 Tulare	45	2.71
24 Tulare	31	1.86	24 Tulare	14	0.84	24 Orange	217	2.58
25 Merced	15	1.61	25 Fresno	26	0.80	25 Santa Clara	123	2.43
26 Fresno	52	1.60	26 San Mateo	10	0.53	26 Fresno	78	2.39
27 Placer	12	1.21	27 Butte	3	0.51	27 Placer	22	2.23
28 San Mateo	18	0.95	28 Orange	33	0.39	28 Marin	13	2.18
29 Marin	3	0.50	29 Santa Clara	13	0.26	29 San Mateo	28	1.49
<b>Largest 29 Counties</b>	<b>3,162</b>	<b>3.08</b>	<b>Largest 29 Counties</b>	<b>1,796</b>	<b>1.75</b>	<b>Largest 29 Counties</b>	<b>4,958</b>	<b>4.83</b>
<b>Other 29 Counties</b>	<b>182</b>	<b>3.68</b>	<b>Other 29 Counties</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>2.09</b>	<b>Other 29 Counties</b>	<b>287</b>	<b>5.77</b>

University of California at Berkeley, Center for Social Services Research. Data Source: CWS/CMS 2016 Quarter 1 Extract; Program version: 1.35 Database version: 6A04E798.

Webster, D., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Dawson, W., Magruder, J., Exel, M., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Putnam-Hornstein, E., Wegmann, W., Rezvani, G., Wagstaff, K., Sandoval, A., Yee, H., Xiong, B., Benton, C., Tobler, A., White, J., & Kai, C. (2016). CCWIP reports. Retrieved 8/15/2016, from University of California at Berkeley California Child Welfare Indicators Project website. URL: <[http://cssr.berkeley.edu/ucb\\_childwelfare](http://cssr.berkeley.edu/ucb_childwelfare)>


**PROBATION Supervised Placements in GROUP HOMES on April 1, 2016: Prevalence of Group Home Placements per 10,000 Children in the County**



S:\DOUG\COST\STDY\Probation Group Homes 1998 to April 2016 8-01-16 Chart ProbGHPrevalence29Apr2016

This chart includes the 29 Counties with the largest number of children in their general County population.

**Probation GROUP HOME Placements in California Since 2010  
[Impact of AB 12 Extending Foster Care Up to 21 Years of Age on January 1, 2012]**



# Group Home Placements by California Counties: 1998 - 2016

## In-County and Out-of-State Placements

### CHILD WELFARE-Supervised


	Total CWS Group Home Placements	CHILD WELFARE-Supervised				PROBATION-Supervised							
		In-County Place-ments	% Placed In-County	In-State Out-of-County Place-ments	% Placed In-State Out-of-County	Out-of-State Place-ments	% Placed Out-of-State	Total Probation Group Home Placements	In-County Place-ments	In-State Out-of-County Place-ments	% Placed In-State Out-of-County	Out-of-State Place-ments	% Placed Out-of-State
July	1998	6,553	4,843	73.9%	1,710	26.1%	0	0.0%	4,006	1,683	42.0%	2,318	57.9%
January	1999	6,502	4,740	72.9%	1,762	27.1%	0	0.0%	4,033	1,690	41.9%	2,341	58.1%
July	1999	6,474	4,765	73.6%	1,709	26.4%	0	0.0%	4,173	1,719	41.2%	2,453	58.8%
January	2000	6,373	4,652	73.0%	1,721	27.0%	0	0.0%	4,102	1,743	42.5%	2,359	57.5%
July	2000	6,519	4,700	72.1%	1,815	27.8%	4	0.1%	3,966	1,674	42.2%	2,289	57.7%
January	2001	6,406	4,606	71.9%	1,795	28.0%	5	0.1%	4,062	1,808	44.5%	2,250	55.4%
July	2001	6,476	4,611	71.2%	1,862	28.8%	3	0.0%	4,185	1,871	44.7%	2,311	55.2%
January	2002	6,695	4,767	71.2%	1,922	28.7%	6	0.1%	4,038	1,736	43.0%	2,298	56.9%
July	2002	6,828	4,848	71.0%	1,972	28.9%	8	0.1%	4,055	1,642	40.5%	2,410	59.4%
January	2003	6,912	4,908	71.0%	1,995	28.9%	9	0.1%	4,248	1,805	42.5%	2,437	57.4%
July	2003	6,963	5,020	72.1%	1,929	27.7%	14	0.2%	4,248	1,835	43.2%	2,406	56.6%
January	2004	6,926	5,001	72.2%	1,907	27.5%	18	0.3%	4,157	1,771	42.6%	2,375	57.1%
July	2004	6,876	4,964	72.2%	1,900	27.6%	12	0.2%	4,028	1,792	44.5%	2,213	54.9%
January	2005	6,653	4,817	72.4%	1,823	27.4%	13	0.2%	3,859	1,733	44.9%	2,102	54.5%
July	2005	6,566	4,728	72.0%	1,829	27.9%	9	0.1%	3,726	1,662	44.6%	2,039	54.7%
January	2006	6,314	4,470	70.8%	1,834	29.0%	10	0.2%	3,765	1,672	44.4%	2,061	54.8%
July	2006	6,028	4,183	69.4%	1,832	30.4%	13	0.2%	3,655	1,634	44.7%	1,995	54.6%
January	2007	5,806	4,024	69.3%	1,765	30.4%	17	0.3%	3,437	1,509	43.9%	1,903	55.4%
July	2007	5,584	3,786	67.8%	1,772	31.7%	26	0.5%	3,252	1,356	41.7%	1,881	57.8%
January	2008	5,267	3,608	68.5%	1,635	31.0%	24	0.5%	3,049	1,281	42.0%	1,732	56.8%
July	2008	4,818	3,344	69.4%	1,459	30.3%	15	0.3%	2,931	1,187	40.5%	1,672	57.0%
January	2009	4,442	3,069	69.1%	1,352	30.4%	21	0.5%	2,894	1,195	41.3%	1,586	54.8%
July	2009	4,105	2,832	69.0%	1,244	30.3%	29	0.7%	2,926	1,252	42.8%	1,527	52.2%
January	2010	3,852	2,635	68.4%	1,192	31.0%	25	0.6%	2,876	1,173	40.8%	1,530	53.2%
July	2010	3,814	2,574	67.5%	1,204	31.6%	36	0.9%	2,668	1,054	39.5%	1,405	52.7%
January	2011	3,794	2,618	69.0%	1,141	30.1%	35	0.9%	2,546	950	37.3%	1,395	54.8%
July	2011	3,801	2,619	68.9%	1,143	30.1%	39	1.0%	2,528	956	37.8%	1,375	54.4%
January	2012	3,681	2,499	67.9%	1,151	31.3%	31	0.8%	2,491	897	36.0%	1,365	54.8%
July	2012	3,700	2,498	67.5%	1,160	31.3%	43	1.2%	2,529	913	36.1%	1,394	55.1%
January	2013	3,696	2,491	67.4%	1,163	31.5%	42	1.1%	2,421	874	36.1%	1,332	55.0%
July	2013	3,732	2,512	67.3%	1,174	31.5%	46	1.2%	2,427	915	37.7%	1,280	52.7%
January	2014	3,716	2,471	66.5%	1,191	32.0%	54	1.5%	2,264	824	36.4%	1,206	53.3%
July	2014	3,798	2,454	64.6%	1,288	33.9%	56	1.5%	2,121	768	36.2%	1,131	53.3%
January	2015	3,744	2,400	64.1%	1,295	34.6%	49	1.3%	2,079	753	36.2%	1,094	52.6%
July	2015	3,677	2,298	62.5%	1,327	36.1%	52	1.4%	2,032	720	35.4%	1,061	52.2%
January	2016	3,617	2,210	61.1%	1,340	37.0%	67	1.9%	1,920	622	32.4%	1,046	54.5%

<b>Foster Youth placed in Group Homes by County Probation Departments: January 1, 2016</b>			<b>In-County Placements</b>		<b>Out-of-County [In-State] Placements</b>		<b>Out-of-State Placements</b>	
<b>Supervising County</b>	<b>Total Number</b>	<b>% of State-wide Total</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>% of County Total</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>% of County Total</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>% of County Total</b>
<b>Statewide Total</b>	<b>1,920</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>622</b>	<b>32.4%</b>	<b>1,046</b>	<b>54.5%</b>	<b>252</b>	<b>13.1%</b>
<b>Alameda</b>	<b>124</b>	<b>6.5%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>61.3%</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>37.1%</b>
Alpine	0	0.0%	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-
Amador	1	0.1%	-	0.0%	1	100.0%	-	0.0%
Butte	1	0.1%	-	0.0%	1	100.0%	-	0.0%
Calaveras	2	0.1%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	-	0.0%
Colusa	3	0.2%	-	0.0%	3	100.0%	-	0.0%
Contra Costa	80	4.2%	1	1.3%	79	98.7%	-	0.0%
Del Norte	6	0.3%	-	0.0%	6	100.0%	-	0.0%
El Dorado	5	0.3%	-	0.0%	5	100.0%	-	0.0%
Fresno	22	1.1%	14	63.6%	8	36.4%	0	0.0%
Glenn	1	0.1%	-	0.0%	1	100.0%	-	0.0%
Humboldt	1	0.1%	-	0.0%	1	100.0%	-	0.0%
Imperial	19	1.0%	-	0.0%	18	94.7%	1	5.3%
Inyo	0	0.0%	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-
Kern	115	6.0%	17	14.8%	85	73.9%	13	11.3%
Kings	8	0.4%	-	0.0%	8	100.0%	-	0.0%
Lake	11	0.6%	-	0.0%	11	100.0%	-	0.0%
Lassen	2	0.1%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	-	0.0%
Los Angeles	654	34.1%	421	64.4%	194	29.6%	39	6.0%
Madera	0	0.0%	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-
Marin	9	0.5%	-	0.0%	9	100.0%	0	0.0%
Mariposa	0	0.0%	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-
Mendocino	8	0.4%	1	12.5%	7	87.5%	-	0.0%
Merced	27	1.4%	-	0.0%	16	59.3%	11	40.7%
Modoc	4	0.2%	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	0	0.0%
Mono	0	0.0%	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-
Monterey	39	2.0%	5	12.8%	29	74.4%	5	12.8%
Napa	9	0.5%	-	0.0%	9	100.0%	0	0.0%
Nevada	0	0.0%	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-
Orange	32	1.7%	15	46.9%	17	53.1%	0	0.0%
Placer	10	0.5%	3	30.0%	6	60.0%	1	10.0%
Plumas	3	0.2%	-	0.0%	3	100.0%	-	0.0%
Riverside	107	5.6%	15	14.0%	72	67.3%	20	18.7%
Sacramento	99	5.2%	20	20.2%	48	48.5%	31	31.3%
San Benito	0	0.0%	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-
San Bernardino	79	4.1%	20	25.3%	44	55.7%	15	19.0%
San Diego	102	5.3%	54	52.9%	38	37.3%	10	9.8%
San Francisco	59	3.1%	4	6.8%	37	62.7%	18	30.5%
San Joaquin	38	2.0%	4	10.5%	22	57.9%	12	31.6%
San Luis Obispo	10	0.5%	1	10.0%	8	80.0%	1	10.0%
San Mateo	8	0.4%	1	12.5%	7	87.5%	-	0.0%
Santa Barbara	34	1.8%	2	5.9%	26	76.5%	6	17.6%
Santa Clara	12	0.6%	3	25.0%	8	66.7%	1	8.3%
Santa Cruz	19	1.0%	-	0.0%	18	94.7%	1	5.3%
Shasta	21	1.1%	2	9.5%	17	81.0%	2	9.5%
Sierra	0	0.0%	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-
Siskiyou	0	0.0%	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-
Solano	12	0.6%	-	0.0%	12	100.0%	-	0.0%
Sonoma	25	1.3%	3	12.0%	20	80.0%	2	8.0%
Stanislaus	31	1.6%	-	0.0%	16	51.6%	15	48.4%
Sutter	1	0.1%	-	0.0%	1	-	-	0.0%
Tehama	4	0.2%	-	0.0%	4	100.0%	-	0.0%
Trinity	2	0.1%	-	0.0%	2	100.0%	-	0.0%
Tulare	17	0.9%	4	23.5%	13	76.5%	-	0.0%
Tuolumne	4	0.2%	-	0.0%	4	100.0%	-	0.0%
Ventura	26	1.4%	6	23.1%	18	69.2%	2	7.7%
Yolo	6	0.3%	-	0.0%	6	100.0%	-	0.0%
Yuba	7	0.4%	-	0.0%	7	100.0%	-	0.0%
Missing	1	0.1%	-	0.0%	1	100.0%	-	0.0%
<b>Top 10 Counties using Out-of-State Group Homes</b>	<b>781</b>	<b>40.7%</b>					<b>191</b>	<b>24.5%</b>


These ten Counties, with about 40% of Probation group home placements, are responsible for 76% of all Out-of-State Probation group home placements (191 out of 252), with 24% of their group home placements in Out-of-State group homes.

Data Source: California Child Welfare Indicators Project (CCWIP); University of California at Berkeley; CWS/CMS 2015 Quarter 4 Extract.


## Group Home Placements in California Since 1998 [Probation Supervised Cases]




## Group Home Placements in Los Angeles County Since 1998 [ProbationSupervised Cases]




## Group Home Placements in Orange and San Diego Counties Since July 1998 [Probation Supervised Cases]




## Group Home Placements in Riverside and San Bernardino Counties Since July 1998 [Probation Supervised Cases]




## Group Home Placements by Three Medium-Size Counties in Southern California Since 1998 [Probation Supervised Cases]




## Group Home Placements by Three Central Valley Counties Since 1998 [Probation Supervised Cases]




## Group Home Placements by Three Smaller Central Valley Counties Since 1998 [Child Welfare Supervised Cases]




## Group Home Placements by Alameda, Contra Costa, and Sacramento Counties Since 1998 [Probation Supervised Cases]




### Group Home Placements by Three Larger Bay Area Counties Since 1998 [Probation Supervised Cases] (excluding Alameda and Contra Costa Counties)




## Group Home Placements by Three Smaller Bay Area Counties Since 1998 [Probation Supervised Cases]



## Group Home Placements by Monterey Bay Area Counties Since 1998 [Probation Supervised Cases]



## Group Home Placements by El Dorado and Yolo Counties Since 1998 [Probation Supervised Cases]



## Group Home Placements by Butte and Shasta Counties Since 1998 [Probation Supervised Cases]

